

09/09/2022

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

By: V. Hutton Deputy

1 Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (S.B. #160744)
2 Laura Grace Van Note, Esq. (S.B. #310160)
3 Cody Alexander Bolce, Esq. (S.B. #322725)

COLE & VAN NOTE
4 555 12th Street, Suite 1725
Oakland, California 94607
5 Telephone: (510) 891-9800
6 Facsimile: (510) 891-7030
7 Email: sec@colevannote.com
8 Email: lvn@colevannote.com
9 Email: cab@colevannote.com
10 Web: www.colevannote.com

11 Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff
12 and the Plaintiff Class

13
14 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

16 ALBERT PATTERSON, individually, and
17 on behalf of all others similarly situated,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 ALAMEDA HEALTH SYSTEM, and
21 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

22 Defendant.

Case No. **22CV017573**

CLASS ACTION

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,
INJUNCTIVE AND EQUITABLE RELIEF
FOR:**

1. NEGLIGENCE;
2. INVASION OF PRIVACY;
3. BREACH OF CONFIDENCE;
4. INFORMATION PRACTICES ACT OF 1977 (CAL. CIV. CODE §1798);
5. CONFIDENTIALITY OF MEDICAL INFORMATION ACT (CAL. CIV. CODE §56);
6. BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT;
7. BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING;
8. UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES;
9. UNJUST ENRICHMENT

[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]

COLE & VAN NOTE
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
555 12TH STREET, SUITE 1725
OAKLAND, CA 94607
TEL: (510) 891-9800

1 Representative Plaintiff alleges as follows:
2

3 **INTRODUCTION**

4 1. Representative Plaintiff Albert Patterson (“Patterson” or “Representative
5 Plaintiff”) brings this class action against Defendant Alameda Health System (“Defendant” or
6 “AHS”) for its failure to properly secure and safeguard Representative Plaintiff’s and Class
7 Members’ personally identifiable information stored within Defendant’s information network,
8 including, without limitation, clinical or treatment information, health insurance or claims
9 information (these types of information, *inter alia*, being hereafter referred to, collectively, as
10 “personal health information” or “PHI”),¹ names, dates of birth, and patient IDs (these latter types
11 of information, *inter alia*, being hereafter referred to, collectively, as “personally identifiable
12 information” or “PII”),² and to properly secure and safeguard Representative Plaintiff’s and Class
13 Members’ PHI and PII stored within Defendant’s information network.

14 2. With this action, Representative Plaintiff seeks to hold Defendant responsible for
15 the harms it caused Representative Plaintiff and the countless other similarly situated persons in
16 the massive and preventable cyber-attack that took place between, May 2022 and March 2022, by
17 which cybercriminals infiltrated Defendant’s inadequately protected network servers and obtained
18 email content where highly sensitive PHI/PII and financial information was being kept unprotected
19 (the “Data Breach”).

20 3. Representative Plaintiff further seeks to hold Defendant responsible for not
21 ensuring that the PHI/PII was maintained in a manner consistent with industry, the Health
22

23 ¹ Personal health information (“PHI”) is a category of information that refers to an individual’s
24 medical records and history, which is protected under the Health Insurance Portability and
25 Accountability Act (HIPAA). *Inter alia*, PHI includes test results, procedure descriptions,
26 diagnoses, personal or family medical histories and data points applied to a set of demographic
27 information for a particular patient.

28 ² Personally identifiable information (“PII”) generally incorporates information that can be
used to distinguish or trace an individual’s identity, either alone or when combined with other
personal or identifying information. 2 C.F.R. § 200.79. At a minimum, it includes all
information that on its face expressly identifies an individual. PII also is generally defined to
include certain identifiers that do not on their face name an individual, but that are considered
to be particularly sensitive and/or valuable if in the wrong hands (for example, Social Security
numbers, passport numbers, driver’s license numbers, financial account numbers).

1 Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPPA”) Privacy Rule (45 CFR, Parts 160
2 and 164(A) and (E)), the HIPPA Security Rule (45 CFR, Parts 160 and 164(A) and (C)), and other
3 relevant standards.

4 4. While Defendant claims to have known about the Data Breach as early as February
5 23, 2022, it did not report the security incident to patients and other members of the community
6 until June 2022, when Defendant began sending individual notices to community members whose
7 data was impacted and filed a report with the California Attorney General’s Office. Representative
8 Plaintiff received such a letter, dated June 24, 2022.

9 5. Defendant acquired, collected and stored Representative Plaintiff’s and Class
10 Members’ PHI/PII and/or financial information in order to ensure efficient and quality healthcare,
11 employment and/or other services to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. Therefore, at all
12 relevant times, Defendant knew, or should have known, that Representative Plaintiff and Class
13 Members would use Defendant’s networks to store and/or share sensitive data, including highly
14 confidential PHI/PII, because Defendant promised them that creating personal healthcare records
15 would improve care quality.

16 6. HIPAA establishes national minimum standards for the protection of individuals’
17 medical records and other personal health information. HIPAA, generally, applies to health plans,
18 health care clearinghouses, and those health care providers that conduct certain health care
19 transactions electronically, and sets minimum standards for Defendant’s maintenance of
20 Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII. More specifically, HIPAA requires
21 appropriate safeguards be maintained by healthcare providers such as Defendant to protect the
22 privacy of personal health information and sets limits and conditions on the uses and disclosures
23 that may be made of such information without customer/patient authorization. HIPAA also
24 establishes a series of rights over Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII, including
25 rights to examine and obtain copies of their health records, and to request corrections thereto.

26 7. Additionally, the HIPAA Security Rule establishes national standards to protect
27 individuals’ electronic personal health information that is created, received, used, or maintained
28 by a covered entity. The HIPAA Security Rule requires appropriate administrative, physical and

1 technical safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and security of electronic protected
2 health information.

3 8. By obtaining, collecting, using, and deriving a benefit from Representative
4 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties to those
5 individuals. These duties arise from HIPAA and other state and federal statutes and regulations as
6 well as common law principles.

7 9. Defendant disregarded the rights of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by
8 intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take and implement adequate and
9 reasonable measures to ensure that Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII was
10 safeguarded, failing to take available steps to prevent an unauthorized disclosure of data, and
11 failing to follow applicable, required and appropriate protocols, policies and procedures regarding
12 the encryption of data, even for internal use. As a result, the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff
13 and Class Members was compromised through disclosure to an unknown and unauthorized third
14 party—an undoubtedly nefarious third party that seeks to profit off this disclosure by defrauding
15 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in the future. Representative Plaintiff and Class
16 Members have a continuing interest in ensuring that their information is and remains safe, and they
17 are entitled to injunctive and other equitable relief.

18
19 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

20 10. This Court has jurisdiction over the Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members'
21 claims for damages and injunctive relief pursuant to, *inter alia*, (Cal. Civ. Code §1798, *et seq.*),
22 Information Practices Act of 1977 (Cal. Civ. Code §56, *et seq.*) Confidentiality of Medical
23 Information Act, and Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, §17200, *et seq.* (Unfair Competition Law), among
24 other California state statutes.

25 11. Venue as to Defendant is proper in this judicial district pursuant to California Code
26 of Civil Procedure § 395(a). Defendant provided the aforementioned services within this County
27 where numerous Class Members worked, transacts business, has agents, and is otherwise within
28 this Court's jurisdiction for purposes of service of process. The unlawful acts alleged herein have

1 had a direct effect on Representative Plaintiff and those similarly situated within the State of
2 California and within this County.

3
4 **PLAINTIFF(S)**

5 12. Representative Plaintiff is an adult individual and, at all relevant times herein, a
6 resident of the State of California. Representative Plaintiff is a victim of the Data Breach.

7 13. Representative Plaintiff received and was a “consumer” for purposes of obtaining
8 medical services from Defendant.

9 14. At all times herein relevant, Representative Plaintiff is and was a member of the
10 Class.

11 15. As required in order to obtain medical and/or prescription services from Defendant,
12 Representative Plaintiff provided Defendant with highly sensitive personal, financial, health and
13 insurance information.

14 16. Representative Plaintiff’s PHI/PII was exposed in the Data Breach because
15 Defendant stored and/or shared Representative Plaintiff’s PHI/PII and financial information. His
16 PHI/PII and financial information was within the possession and control of Defendant at the time
17 of the Data Breach.

18 17. Representative Plaintiff received a letter from Defendant, dated June 24, 2022
19 informing his that his PHI/PII and/or financial information was involved in the Data Breach (the
20 “Notice”). The Notice explained that Defendant became aware of a “security event” involving
21 certain electronic files/email accounts, investigated the activity and, as early as February 23, 2022,
22 determined that certain files containing the PHI/PII and financial information of Class Members
23 were accessed and unlawfully acquired (starting as early as May 2020). What’s more, according
24 to the Notice, Defendant confirmed that some of Representative Plaintiff’s PHI/PII and financial
25 information was also present in the accessed and unlawfully acquired files. Again, while,
26 Defendant claims to have known of this breach and its impact on Representative Plaintiff, and
27 while it started notifying some clients/patients/employees of the Data Breach far earlier, it did not
28 inform Plaintiff of it until at least June 24, 2022.

1 18. As a result, Representative Plaintiff spent time dealing with the consequences of
2 the Data Breach, which included and continues to include time spent on the telephone, verifying
3 the legitimacy and impact of the Data Breach, exploring credit monitoring and identity theft
4 insurance options and self-monitoring his accounts. This time has been lost forever and cannot be
5 recaptured.

6 19. Representative Plaintiff suffered actual injury in the form of damages to and
7 diminution in the value of his PHI/PII—a form of intangible property that he entrusted to
8 Defendant for the purpose of obtaining health services, which was compromised in and as a result
9 of the Data Breach.

10 20. Representative Plaintiff suffered lost time, annoyance, interference, and
11 inconvenience as a result of the Data Breach and has anxiety and increased concerns for the loss
12 of his privacy, as well as anxiety over the impact of cybercriminals accessing and using his PHI/PII
13 and/or financial information.

14 21. Representative Plaintiff has suffered imminent and impending injury arising from
15 the substantially increased risk of fraud, identity theft, and misuse resulting from his PHI/PII and
16 financial information, in combination with his name, being placed in the hands of unauthorized
17 third-parties and possibly criminals.

18 22. Representative Plaintiff has a continuing interest in ensuring that his PHI/PII and
19 financial information, which, upon information and belief, remains backed up in Defendant's
20 possession, is protected and safeguarded from future breaches.

21 23. Representative Plaintiff submitted a claim for damages to Defendant via certified
22 mail on July 8, 2022, and substantially complied with all requirements for presenting a claim under
23 Government Code § 910.

24 24. As of filing Defendant has not provided any response to Representative Plaintiff's
25 claim and its time to respond has run.

26
27
28

DEFENDANT

1
2 25. Defendant AHS is a public health care system of five hospitals and four wellness
3 centers with over 800 beds and 1,000 physicians.

4 26. Those Defendants identified as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are and were, at all
5 relevant times herein-mentioned, officers, directors, partners, and/or managing agents of
6 Defendant and, in doing the acts herein alleged, were acting within the course and scope of such
7 agency and/or employment.

8 27. The Representative Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of those
9 Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 100, inclusive and, therefore, sues these Defendants by
10 such fictitious names. The Representative Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this
11 Complaint when such names are ascertained. The Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes
12 and, on that basis, alleges that each of the fictitiously-named Defendants was responsible in some
13 manner for, gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the conduct herein alleged and that the
14 damages, as herein alleged, were proximately caused thereby.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

15
16
17 28. The Representative Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all
18 persons similarly situated and proximately damaged by Defendant's conduct including, but not
19 necessarily limited to, the following Plaintiff Class:

20 "All individuals within the State of California whose PHI/PII and/or
21 financial information was stored by Defendant and/or was exposed
22 to unauthorized third parties as a result of the data breach occurring
between at least May 2020 and March 2022."

23 29. Excluded from the Class are the following individuals and/or entities: Defendant
24 and Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors, and any entity in which
25 Defendant has a controlling interest; all individuals who make a timely election to be excluded
26 from this proceeding using the correct protocol for opting out; any and all federal, state or local
27 governments, including but not limited to its departments, agencies, divisions, bureaus, boards,
28

1 sections, groups, counsels and/or subdivisions; and all judges assigned to hear any aspect of this
2 litigation, as well as their immediate family members.

3 30. Also, in the alternative, Representative Plaintiff requests additional subclasses be
4 added, as necessary, based on the types of PHI/PII and financial information that were
5 compromised and/or the nature of certain Class Members' relationship(s) to the Defendant. At
6 present, Class Members include, *inter alia*, current and former employees, students, consumers
7 and patients of Defendant.

8 31. Representative Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the above definition or to
9 propose subclasses in subsequent pleadings and/or motions for class certification.

10 32. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action
11 under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because there is a well-defined community of
12 interest in the litigation and the proposed class is easily ascertainable.

13 a. Numerosity: A class action is the only available method for the fair and
14 efficient adjudication of this controversy. The members of the Plaintiff
15 Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical, if not
16 impossible. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that
17 basis, alleges that the total number of Class Members is in the tens of
18 thousands of individuals. Membership in the Class will be determined by
19 analysis of Defendant's records.

20 b. Commonality: The Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members share a
21 community of interests in that there are numerous common questions and
22 issues of fact and law which predominate over any questions and issues
23 solely affecting individual members, including, but not necessarily limited
24 to:

- 25 1) Whether Defendant engaged in the wrongful conduct alleged
26 herein;
- 27 2) Whether Defendant had a legal duty to Representative Plaintiff
28 and the Class to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using
and/or safeguarding their PHI/PII and financial information;
- 3) Whether Defendant knew or should have known of the
susceptibility of Defendant's data security systems to a data
breach;
- 4) Whether Defendant Defendant's security procedures and
practices to protect its systems were reasonable in light of the
measures recommended by data security experts;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 5) Whether Defendant's failure to implement adequate data security measures, including the sharing of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information allowed the Data Breach to occur and/or worsened its effects;
- 6) Whether Defendant failed to comply with its own policies and applicable laws, regulations, and industry standards relating to data security;
- 7) Whether Defendant adequately, promptly, and accurately informed Representative Plaintiff and Class Members that their PHI/PII and financial information had been compromised;
- 8) How and when Defendant actually learned of the Data Breach;
- 9) Whether Defendant failed to adequately respond to the Data Breach, including failing to investigate it diligently and notify affected individuals in the most expedient time possible and without unreasonable delay, and whether this caused damages to the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 10) Whether Defendant's conduct, including its failure to act, resulted in or was the proximate cause of the breach of these systems, resulting in the loss of the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 11) Whether Defendant adequately addressed and fixed the vulnerabilities which permitted the Data Breach to occur;
- 12) Whether Defendant's conduct, including its failure to act, resulted in or was the proximate cause of the Data Breach and/or damages flowing therefrom;
- 13) Whether Defendant's actions alleged herein constitute gross negligence and whether the negligence of any one defendant can be imputed to another;
- 14) Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive practices by failing to safeguard the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 15) Whether Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to actual and/or statutory damages and/or whether injunctive, corrective and/or declaratory relief and/or an accounting is/are appropriate as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct and, if so, what is necessary to redress the imminent and currently ongoing harm faced by Representative Plaintiff, Class Members and the general public;
- 16) Whether Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

17) Whether Defendant continue to breach duties to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

c. Typicality: The Representative Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the Plaintiff Class. Representative Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class sustained damages arising out of and caused by Defendant’s common course of conduct in violation of law, as alleged herein. The same event and conduct that gave rise to Representative Plaintiff’s claims are identical to those that give rise to the claims of every Class Member because Representative Plaintiff and each Class Member had his/her sensitive PHI/PII and/or financial information compromised in the same way by the same conduct of Defendant. Representative Plaintiff and all Class Members face the identical threats resulting from the breach of his/her PHI/PII and/or financial information without the protection of encryption and adequate monitoring of user behavior and activity necessary to identify those threats.

d. Adequacy of Representation: The Representative Plaintiff in this class action is an adequate representative of the Plaintiff Class in that the Representative Plaintiff has the same interest in the litigation of this case as the remaining Class Members, is committed to vigorous prosecution of this case and has retained competent counsel who are experienced in conducting litigation of this nature. Representative Plaintiff is not subject to any individual defenses unique from those conceivably applicable to other Class Members or the class in its entirety. The Representative Plaintiff anticipates no management difficulties in this litigation. Representative Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of all Class Members.

e. Superiority of Class Action: The damages suffered by individual Class Members, are significant, but may be small relative to the enormous expense of individual litigation by each member. This makes or may make it impractical for members of the Plaintiff Class to seek redress individually for the wrongful conduct alleged herein. Even if Class Members could afford such individual litigation, the court system could not. Should separate actions be brought or be required to be brought, by each individual member of the Plaintiff Class, the resulting multiplicity of lawsuits would cause undue hardship and expense for the Court and the litigants. The prosecution of separate actions would also create a risk of inconsistent rulings which might be dispositive of the interests of other Class Members who are not parties to the adjudications and/or may substantially impede their ability to adequately protect their interests. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties, and to the court system, presented by the complex legal and factual issues of the case. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

1 33. Also, in the alternative, Representative Plaintiff requests additional subclasses be
2 added, as necessary.

3 34. This class action is also appropriate for certification because Defendant has acted
4 or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby requiring the Court's
5 imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the Class Members
6 and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Class in its entirety. Defendant's
7 policies challenged herein apply to and affect Class Members uniformly and Representative
8 Plaintiff's challenge of these policies hinges on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Class in
9 its entirety, not on facts or law applicable only to the Representative Plaintiff.

10 35. Unless a Class-wide injunction is issued, Defendant may continue in its failure to
11 properly secure the PHI/PII and/or financial information of Class Members, and Defendant may
12 continue to act unlawfully as set forth in this Complaint.

13 COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14 The Cyber Attack

15
16 36. Between at least May 2020 and March 2022, Defendant employee emails and/or
17 Defendant's servers, generally, were subject to a cyber-attack through which unauthorized third-
18 party cybercriminals gained access to Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and
19 financial information.

20 37. While Defendant claims to have known about the Data Breach as early as February
21 23, 2022, it did not report the security incident to patients and other members of the community
22 until June 24, 2022.³

23 38. In addition to reporting the data breach to the California Attorney General's Office,
24 Defendant sent notice to victims. Representative Plaintiff received a notice dated June 24, 2022.

25
26
27 ³ See, <https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/AHS-%20Adult%201%20Year%20CM.pdf> (last
28 accessed September 8, 2022).

1 39. Representative Plaintiff was provided the information detailed above upon his
2 receipt of this notice. He was not aware of the Data Breach until receiving that letter.

3
4 **Defendant's Failed Response to the Breach**

5 40. Not until roughly four months after discovering the Data Breach did Defendant
6 begin mailing letters to persons whose PHI/PII and/or financial information Defendant could
7 confirm was potentially compromised as a result of the Data Breach. The sample letter explained
8 details of the Data Breach and Defendant's recommended next steps.

9 41. Upon information and belief, the unauthorized third-party cybercriminals gained
10 access to Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information with
11 the intent of engaging in misuse, including marketing and selling Representative Plaintiff's and
12 Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information.

13 42. Defendant had and continues to have obligations created by HIPAA, the California
14 Confidentiality of Medical Information Act ("CMIA"), reasonable industry standards, common
15 law, state statutory law, and its own assurances and representations to keep Representative
16 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII confidential and to protect such PHI/PII from unauthorized
17 access.

18 43. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members were required to provide their PHI/PII
19 and financial information to Defendant with the reasonable expectation and mutual understanding
20 that Defendant would comply with its obligations to keep such information confidential and secure
21 from unauthorized access.

22 44. Despite this, Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members remain, even today,
23 in the dark regarding what particular data was stolen, the particular malware used, and what steps
24 are being taken, if any, to secure their PHI/PII and financial information going forward. Especially
25 in light of Defendant's suggestion that individuals require "guidance on how to protect against
26 identity theft and fraud...information on how to place a fraud alert and security freeze on one's
27
28

1 credit file...,”⁴ Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are left to speculate as to the full
2 impact of the Data Breach and how exactly Defendant intends to enhance its information security
3 systems and monitoring capabilities so as to prevent further breaches.

4 45. Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information
5 may end up for sale on the dark web, or simply fall into the hands of companies that will use the
6 detailed PHI/PII and financial information for targeted marketing without the approval of
7 Representative Plaintiff and/or Class Members. Either way, unauthorized individuals can now
8 easily access the PHI/PII and/or financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class
9 Members.

10
11 **Defendant Collected/Stored Class Members’ PHI/PII and Financial Information**

12 46. Defendant acquired, collected, and stored and assured reasonable security over
13 Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information.

14 47. As a condition of its relationships with Representative Plaintiff and Class Members,
15 Defendant required that Representative Plaintiff and Class Members entrust Defendant with highly
16 sensitive and confidential PHI/PII and financial information.

17 48. By obtaining, collecting, and storing Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’
18 PHI/PII, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that they
19 were thereafter responsible for protecting Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII
20 and financial information from unauthorized disclosure.

21 49. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have taken reasonable steps to
22 maintain the confidentiality of their PHI/PII and financial information. Representative Plaintiff
23 and Class Members relied on Defendant to keep their PHI/PII and financial information
24 confidential and securely maintained, to use this information for business and healthcare purposes
25 only, and to make only authorized disclosures of this information.

26
27
28 ⁴ *Id.*

1 50. Defendant could have prevented the Data Breach by properly securing and
2 encrypting and/or more securely encrypting its email servers as well as, generally, Representative
3 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information.

4 51. Defendant's negligence in safeguarding Representative Plaintiff's and Class
5 Members' PHI/PII and financial information is exacerbated by repeated warnings and alerts
6 directed to protecting and securing sensitive data, as evidenced by the trending data breach attacks
7 in recent years.

8 52. The healthcare industry has experienced a large number of high-profile cyber-
9 attacks even in just the one-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint and cyber-attacks,
10 generally, have become increasingly more common. More healthcare data breaches were reported
11 in 2020 than in any other year, showing a 25% increase.⁵ Additionally, according to the HIPAA
12 Journal, the largest healthcare data breaches have been reported in April 2021.⁶

13 53. For example, Universal Health Services experienced a cyber-attack on September
14 29, 2020 that was very similar to the attack on Defendant. As a result, Universal Health Services
15 suffered a four-week outage of its systems which caused as much as \$67 million in recovery costs
16 and lost revenue.⁷ Similarly, in 2021, Scripps Health suffered a cyber-attack, an event which
17 effectively shut down critical health care services for a month and left numerous patients unable
18 to speak to their physicians or access vital medical and prescription records. Due to the high-profile
19 nature of these breaches, and other breaches of their kind, Defendant was and/or certainly should
20 have been on notice and aware of such attacks occurring in the healthcare industry and, therefore,
21 should have assumed and adequately performed the duty of preparing for such an imminent attack.

22 54. Despite the prevalence of public announcements of data breach and data security
23 compromises, Defendant failed to take appropriate steps to protect Representative Plaintiff's and
24 Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information from being compromised.

25 _____
26 ⁵ <https://www.hipaajournal.com/2020-healthcare-data-breach-report-us/> (last accessed July 28,
2021).

27 ⁶ <https://www.hipaajournal.com/april-2021-healthcare-data-breach-report/> (last accessed July
28, 2021).

28 ⁷ <https://ir.uhsinc.com/news-releases/news-release-details/universal-health-services-inc-reports-2020-fourth-quarter-and> (last accessed July 28, 2021).

1 **Defendant Had an Obligation to Protect the Stolen Information**

2 55. Defendant is covered by HIPAA’s Applicability (45 C.F.R. § 160.102). As such,
3 they are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy Rule, 45 C.F.R. Part 160 and Part 164,
4 Subparts A and E (“Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information”), and
5 Security Rule (“Security Standards for the Protection of Electronic Protected Health
6 Information”), 45 C.F.R. Part 160 and Part 164, Subparts A and C.

7 56. HIPAA’s Privacy Rule or Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health
8 Information establishes national standards for the protection of health information.

9 57. HIPAA’s Privacy Rule or Security Standards for the Protection of Electronic
10 Protected Health Information establishes a national set of security standards for protecting health
11 information that is kept or transferred in electronic form.

12 58. HIPAA requires Defendant to “comply with the applicable standards,
13 implementation specifications, and requirements” of HIPAA “with respect to electronic protected
14 health information.” 45 C.F.R. § 164.302.

15 59. “Electronic protected health information” is “individually identifiable health
16 information ... that is (i) transmitted by electronic media; maintained in electronic media.” 45
17 C.F.R. § 160.103.

18 60. HIPAA’s Security Rule requires Defendant to do the following:

- 19 a. Ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of all electronic protected
20 health information the covered entity or business associate creates, receives,
21 maintains, or transmits;
22 b. Protect against any reasonably anticipated threats or hazards to the security or
23 integrity of such information;
24 c. Protect against any reasonably anticipated uses or disclosures of such
25 information that are not permitted; and
26 d. Ensure compliance by its workforce.

27 61. HIPAA also requires Defendant to “review and modify the security measures
28 implemented ... as needed to continue provision of reasonable and appropriate protection of
electronic protected health information” under 45 C.F.R. § 164.306(e), and to “[i]mplement
technical policies and procedures for electronic information systems that maintain electronic

1 | protected health information to allow access only to those persons or software programs that have
2 | been granted access rights.” 45 C.F.R. § 164.312(a)(1).

3 | 62. Moreover, the HIPAA Breach Notification Rule, 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.400-414,
4 | requires Defendant to provide notice of the Data Breach to each affected individual “without
5 | unreasonable delay and in no case later than 60 days following discovery of the breach.”

6 | 63. Defendant was also prohibited by the Federal Trade Commission Act (the “FTC
7 | Act”) (15 U.S.C. § 45) from engaging in “unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting
8 | commerce.” The Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC”) has concluded that a company’s failure
9 | to maintain reasonable and appropriate data security for consumers’ sensitive personal information
10 | is an “unfair practice” in violation of the FTC Act. See, e.g., *FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp.*,
11 | 799 F.3d 236 (3d Cir. 2015).

12 | 64. In addition to its obligations under federal and state laws, Defendant owed a duty
13 | to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining,
14 | securing, safeguarding, deleting, and protecting the PHI/PII and financial information in
15 | Defendant’s possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed, and misused by
16 | unauthorized persons. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to
17 | provide reasonable security, including consistency with industry standards and requirements, and
18 | to ensure that its computer systems, networks, and protocols adequately protected the PHI/PII and
19 | financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

20 | 65. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to design,
21 | maintain, and test its computer systems, servers and networks to ensure that the PHI/PII and
22 | financial information in its possession was adequately secured and protected.

23 | 66. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to create and
24 | implement reasonable data security practices and procedures to protect the PHI/PII and financial
25 | information in its possession, including not sharing information with other entities who maintained
26 | sub-standard data security systems.

27 |
28 |

1 67. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to
2 implement processes that would immediately detect a breach on its data security systems in a
3 timely manner.

4 68. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to act upon
5 data security warnings and alerts in a timely fashion.

6 69. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to disclose
7 if its computer systems and data security practices were inadequate to safeguard individuals'
8 PHI/PII and/or financial information from theft because such an inadequacy would be a material
9 fact in the decision to entrust this PHI/PII and/or financial information to Defendant.

10 70. Defendant owed a duty of care to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members
11 because they were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate data security practices.

12 71. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to encrypt
13 and/or more reliably encrypt Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial
14 information and monitor user behavior and activity in order to identify possible threats.

15
16 **Value of the Relevant Sensitive Information**

17 72. The PHI/PII and financial information data accessed in such an attack as this
18 represents a major score for cybercriminals. This information is of great value to them and the data
19 stolen in the Data Breach will undoubtedly be used in a variety of sordid ways for criminals to
20 exploit Representative Plaintiff and Class Members and to profit off their misfortune.

21 73. PHI/PII and financial information are valuable commodities for which a "cyber
22 black market" exists in which criminals openly post stolen payment card numbers, social security
23 numbers, and other personal information on a number of underground Internet websites.

24 74. The high value of PHI/PII and financial information to criminals is further
25 evidenced by the prices they will pay through the dark web. Numerous sources cite dark web
26 pricing for stolen identity credentials. For example, personal information can be sold at a price
27
28

1 ranging from \$40 to \$200, and bank details have a price range of \$50 to \$200.⁸ Experian reports
2 that a stolen credit or debit card number can sell for \$5 to \$110 on the dark web.⁹ Criminals can
3 also purchase access to entire company data breaches from \$999 to \$4,995.¹⁰

4 75. Between 2005 and 2019, at least 249 million people were affected by health care
5 data breaches.¹¹ Indeed, during 2019 alone, over 41 million healthcare records were exposed,
6 stolen, or unlawfully disclosed in 505 data breaches.¹² In short, these sorts of data breaches are
7 increasingly common, especially among healthcare systems, which account for 30.03% of overall
8 health data breaches, according to cybersecurity firm Tenable.¹³

9 76. These criminal activities have and will result in devastating financial and personal
10 losses to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. For example, it is believed that certain
11 PHI/PII compromised in the 2017 Experian data breach was being used, three years later, by
12 identity thieves to apply for COVID-19-related benefits in the state of Oklahoma. Such fraud will
13 be an omnipresent threat for Representative Plaintiff and Class Members for the rest of their lives.
14 They will need to remain constantly vigilant.

15 77. The FTC defines identity theft as “a fraud committed or attempted using the
16 identifying information of another person without authority.” The FTC describes “identifying
17 information” as “any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other
18 information, to identify a specific person,” including, among other things, “[n]ame, Social Security
19 number, date of birth, official State or government issued driver’s license or identification number,
20
21

22 ⁸ *Your personal data is for sale on the dark web. Here’s how much it costs*, Digital Trends, Oct.
23 16, 2019, available at: <https://www.digitaltrends.com/computing/personal-data-sold-on-the-dark-web-how-much-it-costs/> (last accessed July 28, 2021).

24 ⁹ *Here’s How Much Your Personal Information Is Selling for on the Dark Web*, Experian, Dec.
25 6, 2017, available at: <https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/heres-how-much-your-personal-information-is-selling-for-on-the-dark-web/> (last accessed July 28, 2021).

26 ¹⁰ *In the Dark*, VPNOverview, 2019, available at:
27 <https://vpnoverview.com/privacy/anonymous-browsing/in-the-dark/> (last accessed July 28, 2021).

28 ¹¹ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7349636/#B5-healthcare-08-00133>. (last accessed July 28, 2021).

¹² <https://www.hipaajournal.com/december-2019-healthcare-data-breach-report/>. (last accessed July 28, 2021).

¹³ <https://www.tenable.com/blog/healthcare-security-ransomware-plays-a-prominent-role-in-covid-19-era-breaches>. (last accessed July 28, 2021).

1 alien registration number, government passport number, employer or taxpayer identification
2 number.”

3 78. Identity thieves can use PHI/PII and financial information, such as that of
4 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members which Defendant failed to keep secure, to perpetrate
5 a variety of crimes that harm victims. For instance, identity thieves may commit various types of
6 government fraud such as immigration fraud, obtaining a driver’s license or identification card in
7 the victim’s name but with another’s picture, using the victim’s information to obtain government
8 benefits, or filing a fraudulent tax return using the victim’s information to obtain a fraudulent
9 refund.

10 79. The ramifications of Defendant’s failure to keep secure Representative Plaintiff’s
11 and Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information are long lasting and severe. Once PHI/PII
12 and financial information is stolen, particularly identification numbers, fraudulent use of that
13 information and damage to victims may continue for years. Indeed, the PHI/PII and/or financial
14 information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members was taken by hackers to engage in
15 identity theft or to sell it to other criminals who will purchase the PHI/PII and/or financial
16 information for that purpose. The fraudulent activity resulting from the Data Breach may not come
17 to light for years.

18 80. There may be a time lag between when harm occurs versus when it is discovered,
19 and also between when PHI/PII and/or financial information is stolen and when it is used.
20 According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), which conducted a study
21 regarding data breaches:

22 [L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for
23 up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen
24 data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may
25 continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting
26 from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.¹⁴

27 81. The harm to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members is especially acute given
28 the nature of the leaked data. Medical identity theft is one of the most common, most expensive,

¹⁴ *Report to Congressional Requesters*, GAO, at 29 (June 2007), available at:
<http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf> (last accessed July 28, 2021).

1 and most difficult-to-prevent forms of identity theft. According to Kaiser Health News, “medical-
2 related identity theft accounted for 43 percent of all identity thefts reported in the United States in
3 2013,” which is more than identity thefts involving banking and finance, the government and the
4 military, or education.¹⁵

5 82. “Medical identity theft is a growing and dangerous crime that leaves its victims
6 with little to no recourse for recovery,” reported Pam Dixon, executive director of World Privacy
7 Forum. “Victims often experience financial repercussions and worse yet, they frequently discover
8 erroneous information has been added to their personal medical files due to the thief’s activities.”¹⁶

9 83. If cyber criminals manage to access financial information, health insurance
10 information and other personally sensitive data—as they did here—there is no limit to the amount
11 of fraud to which Defendant may expose the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

12 84. A study by Experian found that the average total cost of medical identity theft is
13 “about \$20,000” per incident, and that a majority of victims of medical identity theft were forced
14 to pay out-of-pocket costs for healthcare they did not receive in order to restore coverage.¹⁷ Almost
15 half of medical identity theft victims lose their healthcare coverage as a result of the incident, while
16 nearly one-third saw their insurance premiums rise, and forty percent were never able to resolve
17 their identity theft at all.¹⁸

18 85. And data breaches are preventable.¹⁹ As Lucy Thompson wrote in the DATA
19 BREACH AND ENCRYPTION HANDBOOK, “[i]n almost all cases, the data breaches that occurred could
20 have been prevented by proper planning and the correct design and implementation of appropriate
21 security solutions.”²⁰ He added that “[o]rganizations that collect, use, store, and share sensitive
22

23 ¹⁵ Michael Ollove, “The Rise of Medical Identity Theft in Healthcare,” Kaiser Health News,
24 Feb. 7, 2014, <https://khn.org/news/rise-of-identity-theft/>. (last accessed July 28, 2021).

25 ¹⁶ *Id.*

26 ¹⁷ See Elinor Mills, “Study: Medical Identity Theft is Costly for Victims,” CNET (Mar. 3,
27 2010), <https://www.cnet.com/news/study-medical-identity-theft-is-costly-for-victims/>.

28 ¹⁸ *Id.*; see also Healthcare Data Breach: What to Know About them and What to Do After One,
EXPERIAN, <https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/healthcare-data-breach-what-to-know-about-them-and-what-to-do-after-one/>. (last accessed July 28, 2021).

¹⁹ Lucy L. Thompson, “Despite the Alarming Trends, Data Breaches Are Preventable,” *in*
DATA BREACH AND ENCRYPTION HANDBOOK (Lucy Thompson, ed., 2012)

²⁰ *Id.* at 17.

1 personal data must accept responsibility for protecting the information and ensuring that it is not
2 compromised”²¹

3 86. Most of the reported data breaches are a result of lax security and the failure to
4 create or enforce appropriate security policies, rules, and procedures . . . Appropriate information
5 security controls, including encryption, must be implemented and enforced in a rigorous and
6 disciplined manner so that a *data breach never occurs*.”²²

7 87. Here, Defendant knew of the importance of safeguarding PHI/PII and financial
8 information and of the foreseeable consequences that would occur if Plaintiff’s and Class
9 Members’ PHI/PII and financial information was stolen, including the significant costs that would
10 be placed on Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of a breach of this magnitude. As detailed
11 above, Defendant is a large, sophisticated organization with the resources to deploy robust
12 cybersecurity protocols. It knew, or should have known, that the development and use of such
13 protocols were necessary to fulfill its statutory and common law duties to Representative Plaintiff
14 and Class Members. Its failure to do so is, therefore, intentional, willful, reckless and/or grossly
15 negligent.

16 88. Defendant disregarded the rights of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by,
17 *inter alia*, (i) intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take adequate and
18 reasonable measures to ensure that its network servers were protected against unauthorized
19 intrusions; (ii) failing to disclose that it did not have adequately robust security protocols and
20 training practices in place to adequately safeguard Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’
21 PHI/PII and/or financial information; (iii) failing to take standard and reasonably available steps
22 to prevent the Data Breach; (iv) concealing the existence and extent of the Data Breach for an
23 unreasonable duration of time; and (v) failing to provide Representative Plaintiff and Class
24 Members prompt and accurate notice of the Data Breach.

25
26
27
28 ²¹ *Id.* at 28.

²² *Id.*

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
Negligence

1
2
3 89. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
4 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

5 90. At all times herein relevant, Defendant owed Representative Plaintiff and Class
6 Members a duty of care, *inter alia*, to act with reasonable care to secure and safeguard their PHI/PII
7 and financial information and to use commercially reasonable methods to do so. Defendant took
8 on this obligation upon accepting and storing the PHI/PII and financial information of
9 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in its computer systems and on its networks.

10 91. Among these duties, Defendant was expected:

- 11 a. to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding,
12 deleting and protecting the PHI/PII and financial information in their
13 possession;
- 14 b. to protect Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and
15 financial information using reasonable and adequate security procedures
16 and systems that were/are compliant with industry-standard practices;
- 17 c. to implement processes to quickly detect the Data Breach and to timely act
18 on warnings about data breaches; and
- 19 d. to promptly notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members of any data
20 breach, security incident, or intrusion that affected or may have affected
21 their PHI/PII and financial information.

22 92. Defendant knew that the PHI/PII and financial information was private and
23 confidential and should be protected as private and confidential and, thus, Defendant owed a duty
24 of care not to subject Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to an unreasonable risk of harm
25 because they were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices.

26 93. Defendant knew, or should have known, of the risks inherent in collecting and
27 storing PHI/PII and financial information, the vulnerabilities of its data security systems, and the
28 importance of adequate security. Defendant knew about numerous, well-publicized data breaches.

94. Defendant knew, or should have known, that its data systems and networks did not
adequately safeguard Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial
information.

1 95. Only Defendant was in the position to ensure that its systems and protocols were
2 sufficient to protect the PHI/PII and financial information that Representative Plaintiff and Class
3 Members had entrusted to it.

4 96. Defendant breached its duties to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by
5 failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to
6 safeguard the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

7 97. Because Defendant knew that a breach of its systems could damage millions of
8 individuals, including Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant had a duty to
9 adequately protect its data systems and the PHI/PII and financial information contained thereon.

10 98. Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' willingness to entrust Defendant
11 with their PHI/PII and financial information was predicated on the understanding that Defendant
12 would take adequate security precautions. Moreover, only Defendant had the ability to protect its
13 systems and the PHI/PII and financial information they stored on them from attack. Thus,
14 Defendant had a special relationship with Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

15 99. Defendant also had independent duties under state and federal laws that required
16 Defendant to reasonably safeguard Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and
17 financial information and promptly notify them promptly about the Data Breach. These
18 "independent duties" are untethered to any contract between Defendant and the Representative
19 Plaintiff and/or the remaining Class Members.

20 100. Defendant breached its general duty of care to Representative Plaintiff and Class
21 Members in, but not necessarily limited to, the following ways:

- 22
- 23 a. by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and
24 data security practices to safeguard the PHI/PII and financial information of
25 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 26 b. by failing to timely and accurately disclose that Representative Plaintiff's
27 and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information had been improperly
28 acquired or accessed;
- c. by failing to adequately protect and safeguard the PHI/PII and financial
information by knowingly disregarding standard information security
principles, despite obvious risks, and by allowing unmonitored and
unrestricted access to unsecured PHI/PII and financial information;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- d. by failing to provide adequate supervision and oversight of the PHI/PII and financial information with which they were and are entrusted, in spite of the known risk and foreseeable likelihood of breach and misuse, which permitted an unknown third party to gather PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, misuse the PHI/PII and intentionally disclose it to others without consent.
- e. by failing to adequately train its employees to not store PHI/PII and financial information longer than absolutely necessary;
- f. by failing to consistently enforce security policies aimed at protecting Representative Plaintiff's and the Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information;
- g. by failing to implement processes to quickly detect data breaches, security incidents, or intrusions; and
- h. by failing to encrypt Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information and monitor user behavior and activity in order to identify possible threats.

101. Defendant's willful failure to abide by these duties was wrongful, reckless and grossly negligent in light of the foreseeable risks and known threats.

102. As a proximate and foreseeable result of Defendant's grossly negligent conduct, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages and are at imminent risk of additional harms and damages (as alleged above).

103. The law further imposes an affirmative duty on Defendant to timely disclose the unauthorized access and theft of the PHI/PII and financial information to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members so that they could and/or still can take appropriate measures to mitigate damages, protect against adverse consequences and thwart future misuse of their PHI/PII and financial information.

104. Defendant breached its duty to notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members of the unauthorized access by waiting months after learning of the Data Breach to notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members and then by failing and continuing to fail to provide Representative Plaintiff and Class Members sufficient information regarding the breach. To date, Defendant has not provided sufficient information to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members

1 regarding the extent of the unauthorized access and continues to breach its disclosure obligations
2 to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

3 105. Further, through its failure to provide timely and clear notification of the Data
4 Breach to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant prevented Representative
5 Plaintiff and Class Members from taking meaningful, proactive steps to secure their PHI/PII and
6 financial information, and to access their medical records and histories.

7 106. There is a close causal connection between Defendant's failure to implement
8 security measures to protect the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and
9 Class Members and the harm suffered or risk of imminent harm suffered by Representative
10 Plaintiff and Class Members. Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial
11 information was accessed as the proximate result of Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable
12 care in safeguarding such PHI/PII and financial information by adopting, implementing, and
13 maintaining appropriate security measures.

14 107. Defendant's wrongful actions, inactions, and omissions constituted (and continue
15 to constitute) common law negligence.

16 108. The damages Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered (as alleged
17 above) and will suffer were and are the direct and proximate result of Defendant's grossly
18 negligent conduct.

19 109. Additionally, 15 U.S.C. §45 (FTC Act, Section 5) prohibits "unfair . . . practices in
20 or affecting commerce," including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or
21 practice by businesses, such as Defendant, of failing to use reasonable measures to protect PHI/PII
22 and financial information. The FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the
23 basis of Defendant's duty in this regard.

24 110. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §45 by failing to use reasonable measures to protect
25 PHI/PII and financial information and not complying with applicable industry standards, as
26 described in detail herein. Defendant's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and
27 amount of PHI/PII and financial information it obtained and stored and the foreseeable
28

1 consequences of the immense damages that would result to Representative Plaintiff and Class
2 Members.

3 111. Defendant's violation of 15 U.S.C. §45 constitutes negligence *per se*. Defendant
4 also violated the HIPAA Privacy and Security rules which, likewise, constitutes negligence *per se*.

5 112. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*,
6 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not
7 limited to: (i) actual identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity of how their PHI/PII and financial
8 information is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PHI/PII and financial
9 information; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery
10 from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PHI/PII and financial information;
11 (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity addressing
12 and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including but
13 not limited to, efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from
14 embarrassment and identity theft; (vi) lost continuity in relation to their healthcare; (vii) the
15 continued risk to their PHI/PII and financial information, which may remain in Defendant's
16 possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to
17 undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Representative Plaintiff's and Class
18 Members' PHI/PII and financial information in its continued possession; and (viii) future costs in
19 terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the
20 impact of the PHI/PII and financial information compromised as a result of the Data Breach for
21 the remainder of the lives of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

22 113. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*,
23 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms
24 of injury and/or harm, including, but not limited to, anxiety, emotional distress, loss of privacy,
25 and other economic and non-economic losses.

26 114. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and
27 negligence *per se*, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer the
28 continued risks of exposure of their PHI/PII and financial information, which remain in

1 Defendant's possession and are subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant
2 fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PHI/PII and financial
3 information in its continued possession.

4
5 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**
6 **Invasion of Privacy**

7 115. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
8 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

9 116. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members had a legitimate expectation of privacy
10 to their PHI/PII and financial information and were entitled to the protection of this information
11 against disclosure to unauthorized third parties.

12 117. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to keep their
13 PHI/PII and financial information confidential.

14 118. Defendant failed to protect and released to unknown and unauthorized third parties
15 the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

16 119. Defendant allowed unauthorized and unknown third parties access to and
17 examination of the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class
18 Members, by way of Defendant's failure to protect the PHI/PII and financial information.

19 120. The unauthorized release to, custody of, and examination by unauthorized third
20 parties of the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members is
21 highly offensive to a reasonable person.

22 121. The unauthorized intrusion was into a place or thing which was private and is
23 entitled to be private. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members disclosed their PHI/PII and
24 financial information to Defendant as part of obtaining services from Defendant, but privately with
25 an intention that the PHI/PII and financial information would be kept confidential and would be
26 protected from unauthorized disclosure. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members were
27 reasonable in their belief that such information would be kept private and would not be disclosed
28 without their authorization.

1 122. The Data Breach constitutes an intentional interference with Representative
2 Plaintiff's and Class Members' interests in solitude or seclusion, either as to their persons or as to
3 their private affairs or concerns, of a kind that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.

4 123. Defendant acted with a knowing state of mind when it permitted the Data Breach
5 to occur because it was with actual knowledge that its information security practices were
6 inadequate and insufficient.

7 124. Because Defendant acted with this knowing state of mind, it had notice and knew
8 the inadequate and insufficient information security practices would cause injury and harm to
9 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

10 125. As a proximate result of the above acts and omissions of Defendant, the PHI/PII
11 and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members was disclosed to third
12 parties without authorization, causing Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to suffer
13 damages.

14 126. Unless and until enjoined, and restrained by order of this Court, Defendant's
15 wrongful conduct will continue to cause great and irreparable injury to Representative Plaintiff
16 and Class Members in that the PHI/PII and financial information maintained by Defendant can be
17 viewed, distributed, and used by unauthorized persons for years to come. Representative Plaintiff
18 and Class Members have no adequate remedy at law for the injuries in that a judgment for
19 monetary damages will not end the invasion of privacy for Representative Plaintiff and/or Class
20 Members.

21
22 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
 Breach of Confidence

23 127. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
24 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

25 128. At all times during Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' interactions with
26 Defendant, Defendant was fully aware of the confidential nature of the PHI/PII and financial
27 information that Representative Plaintiff and Class Members provided to them.

28

1 129. As alleged herein and above, Defendant’s relationship with Representative Plaintiff
2 and the Class was governed by promises and expectations that Representative Plaintiff and Class
3 Members’ PHI/PII and financial information would be collected, stored, and protected in
4 confidence, and would not be accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered
5 by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by, and/or viewed by unauthorized third parties.

6 130. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members provided their respective PHI/PII and
7 financial information to Defendant with the explicit and implicit understandings that Defendant
8 would protect and not permit the PHI/PII and financial information to be accessed by, acquired by,
9 appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by, and/or
10 viewed by unauthorized third parties.

11 131. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members also provided their PHI/PII and
12 financial information to Defendant with the explicit and implicit understanding that Defendant
13 would take precautions to protect their PHI/PII and financial information from unauthorized
14 access, acquisition, appropriation, disclosure, encumbrance, exfiltration, release, theft, use, and/or
15 viewing, such as following basic principles of protecting its networks and data systems.

16 132. Defendant voluntarily received, in confidence, Representative Plaintiff’s and Class
17 Members’ PHI/PII and financial information with the understanding that the PHI/PII and financial
18 information would not be accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by,
19 exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by and/or viewed by the public or any unauthorized third
20 parties.

21 133. Due to Defendant’s failure to prevent, detect, and avoid the Data Breach from
22 occurring by, *inter alia*, not following best information security practices to secure Representative
23 Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information, Representative Plaintiff’s and
24 Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information was accessed by, acquired by, appropriated by,
25 disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by and/or viewed by
26 unauthorized third parties beyond Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ confidence, and
27 without their express permission.
28

1 134. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's actions and/or omissions,
2 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages, as alleged herein.

3 135. But for Defendant's failure to maintain and protect Representative Plaintiff's and
4 Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information in violation of the parties' understanding of
5 confidence, their PHI/PII and financial information would not have been accessed by, acquired by,
6 appropriated by, disclosed to, encumbered by, exfiltrated by, released to, stolen by, used by and/or
7 viewed by unauthorized third parties. The Data Breach was the direct and legal cause of the misuse
8 of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information, as well as the
9 resulting damages.

10 136. The injury and harm Representative Plaintiff and Class Members suffered and will
11 continue to suffer was the reasonably foreseeable result of Defendant's unauthorized misuse of
12 Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information. Defendant knew
13 its data systems and protocols for accepting and securing Representative Plaintiff's and Class
14 Members' PHI/PII and financial information had security and other vulnerabilities that placed
15 Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information in jeopardy.

16 137. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of confidence,
17 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer injury, as alleged herein,
18 including, but not limited to, (a) actual identity theft; (b) the compromise, publication, and/or theft
19 of their PHI/PII and financial information; (c) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the
20 prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft and/or unauthorized use of their PHI/PII
21 and financial information; (d) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss
22 of productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the
23 Data Breach, including but not limited to, efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest,
24 and recover from identity theft; (e) the continued risk to their PHI/PII and financial information,
25 which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long
26 as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect Class Members'
27 PHI/PII and financial information in their continued possession; (f) future costs in terms of time,
28 effort, and money that will be expended as result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives

1 of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members; and (g) the diminished value of Representative
2 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information; and (h) the diminished value of
3 Defendant's services Representative Plaintiff and Class Members paid for and received.

4
5 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
6 **Information Practices Act of 1977 (Cal. Civ. Code §1798, et seq.)**

7 138. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
8 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

9 139. Defendant was legally obligated to "establish appropriate and reasonable
10 administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to ensure compliance with the [Information
11 Practices Act of 1977], to ensure the security and confidentiality of records, and to protect against
12 anticipated threats or hazards to its security or integrity which could result in any injury." Cal. Civ.
13 Code § 1798.21.

14 140. Defendant failed to establish appropriate and reasonable administrative, technical,
15 and physical safeguards to ensure compliance with the Information Practices Act of 1977 with
16 regard to the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

17 141. Defendant failed to ensure the security and confidentiality of records containing the
18 PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

19 142. Defendant failed to protect against anticipated threats and hazards to the security
20 and integrity of records containing the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative
21 Plaintiff and Class Members.

22 143. As a result of these failures, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have
23 suffered (and will continue to suffer) economic damages and other injury and actual harm in the
24 form of, inter alia, (i) an imminent, immediate and continuing increased risk of identity theft,
25 identify fraud, and medical fraud - risks justifying expenditures for protective and remedial
26 services for which they are entitled to compensation, (ii) invasion of privacy, (iii) breach of the
27 confidentiality of their PHI/PII and financial information, (iv) deprivation of the value of their
28 PHI/PII and financial information, for which there is a well-established national and international

1 market, and/or (v) the financial and temporal cost of monitoring their credit, monitoring their
2 financial accounts and mitigating their damages.

3 144. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to injunctive relief
4 under California Civil Code § 1798.47.

5
6 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
Confidentiality of Medical Information Act (Cal. Civ. Code §56, et seq.)

7 145. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
8 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

9 146. Under California Civil Code §56.06, Defendant is deemed a “provider of
10 healthcare” and is, therefore, subject to the CMIA, California Civil Code §§ 56.10(a), (d) (e),
11 56.36(b), 56.101(a) and (b).

12 147. Under CMIA, California Civil Code §56.05(k), Representative Plaintiff and
13 numerous Class Members are deemed “patients.”

14 148. As defined in CMIA, California Civil Code §56.05(j), Defendant disclosed
15 “medical information” to unauthorized persons without obtaining consent, in violation of
16 §56.10(a). Defendant’s misconduct, including failure to adequately detect, protect, and prevent
17 unauthorized disclosure, directly resulted in the unauthorized disclosure of Representative
18 Plaintiff’s and numerous Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information to unauthorized
19 persons.

20 149. Defendant’s misconduct, including protecting and preserving the confidential
21 integrity of its clients’/customers’ PHI/PII and financial information, resulted in unauthorized
22 disclosure of sensitive and confidential PII that belongs to Representative Plaintiff and numerous
23 Class Members to unauthorized persons, breaching the confidentiality of that information, thereby
24 violating California Civil Code §§ 56.06 and 56.101(a)..

25 150. Representative Plaintiff and numerous Class Members have all been and continue
26 to be harmed as a direct, foreseeable and proximate result of Defendant’s breach because
27 Representative Plaintiff and numerous Class Members face, now and in the future, an imminent
28

1 threat of identity theft, fraud and for ransom demands. They must now spend time, effort and
2 money to constantly monitor their accounts and credit to surveille for any fraudulent activity.

3 151. Unauthorized third parties viewed Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members
4 protected medical information in connection with the Data Breach.

5 152. Representative Plaintiff and numerous Class Members were injured and have
6 suffered damages, as described above, from Defendant's illegal disclosure and negligent release
7 of their PHI/PII and financial information in violation of Cal. Civ. Code §§ 56.10 and 56.101, and
8 therefore seek relief under Civ. Code §§ 56.35 and 56.36, including actual damages, nominal
9 statutory damages of \$1,000, punitive damages of \$3,000, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and
10 costs.

11
12 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
Breach of Implied Contract

13 153. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
14 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

15 154. Through its course of conduct, Defendant, Representative Plaintiff and Class
16 Members entered into implied contracts for the Defendant to implement data security adequate to
17 safeguard and protect the privacy of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and
18 financial information.

19 155. Defendant required Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to provide and
20 entrust their PHI/PII and financial information, including full names, birthdates and prescription
21 information and/or other financial information, as a condition of getting medical services, their
22 prescriptions and/or obtaining and maintain employment with Defendant Defendant.

23 156. Defendant solicited and invited Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to
24 provide their PHI/PII and financial information as part of Defendant's regular business practices.
25 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members accepted Defendant's offers and provided their
26 PHI/PII and financial information to Defendant.

27 157. As a condition of being direct customers/patients/employees of Defendant
28 Defendant, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members provided and entrusted their PHI/PII and

1 financial information to all Defendant. In so doing, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members
2 entered into implied contracts with Defendant by which Defendant agreed to safeguard and protect
3 such non-public information, to keep such information secure and confidential, and to timely and
4 accurately notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members if their data had been breached and
5 compromised or stolen.

6 158. A meeting of the minds occurred when Representative Plaintiff and Class Members
7 agreed to, and did, provide their PHI/PII and financial information to Defendant, in exchange for,
8 amongst other things, the protection of their PHI/PII and financial information.

9 159. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members fully performed their obligations under
10 the implied contracts with Defendant.

11 160. Defendant breached the implied contracts it made with Representative Plaintiff and
12 Class Members by failing to safeguard and protect their PHI/PII and financial information and by
13 failing to provide timely and accurate notice to them that their PHI/PII and financial information
14 was compromised as a result of the Data Breach.

15 161. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach of implied
16 contract, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered (and will continue to suffer)
17 ongoing, imminent, and impending threat of identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in
18 monetary loss and economic harm; actual identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in
19 monetary loss and economic harm; loss of the confidentiality of the stolen confidential data; the
20 illegal sale of the compromised data on the dark web; lost work time; and other economic and non-
21 economic harm.

22
23 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

24 162. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
25 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

26 163. Every contract in the State of California has an implied covenant of good faith
27 and fair dealing. This implied covenant is an independent duty and may be breached even when
28 there is no breach of the contract's express terms.

1 164. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have complied with and performed all
2 conditions of their contracts with Defendant, and each of them.

3 165. Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing
4 to maintain adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard PHI/PII and
5 financial information, failing to timely and accurately disclose the Data Breach to Representative
6 Plaintiff and Class Members and continued acceptance of PHI/PII and financial information and
7 storage of other personal information after Defendant knew, or should have known, of the security
8 vulnerabilities of the systems that were exploited in the Data Breach.

9 166. Defendant acted in bad faith and/or with malicious motive in denying
10 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members the full benefit of their bargains as originally intended
11 by the parties, thereby causing them injury in an amount to be determined at trial.

12
13 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
14 **Unfair Business Practices**
15 **(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, §17200, et seq.)**

16 167. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
17 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

18 168. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members further bring this cause of action,
19 seeking equitable and statutory relief to stop the misconduct of Defendant, as complained of
20 herein.

21 169. Defendant has engaged in unfair competition within the meaning of California
22 Business & Professions Code §§17200, et seq., because Defendant's conduct is unlawful, unfair
23 and/or fraudulent, as herein alleged.

24 170. Representative Plaintiff, the Class Members, and Defendant are each a "person" or
25 "persons" within the meaning of § 17201 of the California Unfair Competition Law ("UCL").

26 171. The knowing conduct of Defendant, as alleged herein, constitutes an unlawful
27 and/or fraudulent business practice, as set forth in California Business & Professions Code
28 §§17200-17208. Specifically, Defendant conducted business activities while failing to comply

1 with the legal mandates cited herein, including HIPAA. Such violations include, but are not
2 necessarily limited to:

- 3 a. failure to maintain adequate computer systems and data security practices
4 to safeguard PHI/PII and financial information;
- 5 b. failure to disclose that its computer systems and data security practices were
6 inadequate to safeguard PHI/PII and financial information from theft;
- 7 c. failure to timely and accurately disclose the Data Breach to Representative
8 Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 9 d. continued acceptance of PHI/PII and financial information and storage of
10 other personal information after Defendant knew or should have known of
11 the security vulnerabilities of the systems that were exploited in the Data
12 Breach; and
- 13 e. continued acceptance of PHI/PII and financial information and storage of
14 other personal information after Defendant knew or should have known of
15 the Data Breach and before it allegedly remediated the Data Breach.

16 172. Defendant knew or should have known that its computer systems and data security
17 practices were inadequate to safeguard the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative
18 Plaintiff and Class Members, deter hackers and detect a breach within a reasonable time and that
19 the risk of a data breach was highly likely.

20 173. In engaging in these unlawful business practices, Defendant has enjoyed an
21 advantage over its competition and a resultant disadvantage to the public and Class Members.

22 174. Defendant's knowing failure to adopt policies in accordance with and/or adhere to
23 these laws, all of which are binding upon and burdensome to Defendant's competitors, engenders
24 an unfair competitive advantage for Defendant, thereby constituting an unfair business practice, as
25 set forth in California Business & Professions Code §§17200-17208.

26 175. Defendant has clearly established a policy of accepting a certain amount of
27 collateral damage, as represented by the damages to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members
28 herein alleged, as incidental to its business operations, rather than accept the alternative costs of
full compliance with fair, lawful and honest business practices ordinarily borne by responsible
competitors of Defendant and as set forth in legislation and the judicial record.

1 176. The UCL is, by its express terms, a cumulative remedy, such that remedies under its
2 provisions can be awarded in addition to those provided under separate statutory schemes and/or
3 common law remedies, such as those alleged in the other Counts of this Complaint. *See* Cal. Bus.
4 & Prof. Code § 17205.

5 177. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members request that this Court enter such
6 orders or judgments as may be necessary to enjoin Defendant from continuing its unfair, unlawful,
7 and/or deceptive practices and to restore to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members any money
8 Defendant acquired by unfair competition, including restitution and/or equitable relief, including
9 disgorgement or ill-gotten gains, refunds of moneys, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and the
10 costs of prosecuting this class action, as well as any and all other relief that may be available at law
11 or equity.

12
13 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
Unjust Enrichment

14 178. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause
15 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

16 179. By its wrongful acts and omissions described herein, Defendant has obtained a
17 benefit by unduly taking advantage of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

18 180. Defendant, prior to and at the time Representative Plaintiff and Class Members
19 entrusted their PHI/PII and financial information to Defendant for the purpose of obtaining health
20 services, believing that Defendant would keep such PHI/PII and financial information secure.

21 181. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that reasonable patients and
22 consumers would have wanted their PHI/PII and financial information kept secure and would not
23 have contracted with Defendant, directly or indirectly, had they know that Defendant's information
24 systems were sub-standard for that purpose.

25 182. Defendant was also aware that, if the substandard condition of and vulnerabilities
26 in its information systems were disclosed, it would negatively affect Representative Plaintiff's and
27 Class Members' decisions to seek health care series therefrom
28

1 183. Defendant failed to disclose facts pertaining to its substandard information systems,
2 defects and vulnerabilities therein before Representative Plaintiff and Class Members made their
3 decisions to make purchases, engage in commerce therewith, and seek health care services or
4 information. Instead, Defendant suppressed and concealed such information. By concealing and
5 suppressing that information, Defendant denied Representative Plaintiff and Class Members the
6 ability to make a rational and informed purchasing and health care decision and took undue
7 advantage of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

8 184. Defendant was unjustly enriched at the expense of Representative Plaintiff and
9 Class Members. Defendant received profits, benefits, and compensation, in part, at the expense of
10 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. By contrast, Representative Plaintiff and Class
11 Members did not receive the benefit of their bargain because they paid for products and/or health
12 care services that did not satisfy the purposes for which they bought/sought them.

13 185. Since Defendant's profits, benefits, and other compensation were obtained by
14 improper means, Defendant is not legally or equitably entitled to retain any of the benefits,
15 compensation or profits it realized from these transactions.

16 186. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members seek an Order of this Court requiring
17 Defendant to refund, disgorge, and pay as restitution any profits, benefits and other compensation
18 obtained by Defendant from its wrongful conduct and/or the establishment of a constructive
19 trust from which Representative Plaintiff and Class Members may seek restitution.

20
21 **RELIEF SOUGHT**

22 **WHEREFORE**, Representative Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and each member of the
23 proposed Class, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in her/their favor and for the
24 following specific relief against Defendant as follows:

25 1. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that this action is a proper class action
26 and certify the proposed class and/or any other appropriate subclasses under California Code of
27 Civil Procedure § 382;

28

1 2. For an award of damages, including actual, nominal and consequential damages, as
2 allowed by law in an amount to be determined;

3 3. That the Court enjoin Defendant, ordering it to cease and desist from unlawful
4 activities in further violation of California Business and Professions Code §17200, *et seq.*;

5 4. For equitable relief enjoining Defendant from engaging in the wrongful conduct
6 complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of Representative Plaintiff's and
7 Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete
8 and accurate disclosures to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;

9 5. For injunctive relief requested by Representative Plaintiff and Class Members,
10 including but not limited to, injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to protect the
11 interests of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, including but not limited to an Order:

- 12
- 13 a. prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the wrongful and unlawful acts
14 described herein;
 - 15 b. requiring Defendant to protect, including through encryption, all data
16 collected through the course of business in accordance with all applicable
17 regulations, industry standards, and federal, state or local laws;
 - 18 c. requiring Defendant to implement and maintain a comprehensive
19 Information Security Program designed to protect the confidentiality and
20 integrity of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and
21 financial information;
 - 22 d. requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors and
23 internal personnel to run automated security monitoring, simulated attacks,
24 penetration tests and audits on Defendant's systems on a periodic basis;
 - 25 e. prohibiting Defendant from maintaining Representative Plaintiff's and
26 Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information on a cloud-based
27 database;
 - 28 f. requiring Defendant to segment data by creating firewalls and access
 controls so that, if one area of Defendant's networks are compromised,
 hackers cannot gain access to other portions of Defendant's systems;
 - g. requiring Defendant to conduct regular database scanning and securing
 checks;
 - h. requiring Defendant to establish an information security training program
 that includes at least annual information security training for all employees,
 with additional training to be provided as appropriate based upon the
 employees' respective responsibilities with handling PHI/PII and financial

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

information, as well as protecting the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;

- i. requiring Defendant to implement a system of tests to assess its respective employees' knowledge of the education programs discussed in the preceding subparagraphs, as well as randomly and periodically testing employees' compliance with Defendant's policies, programs, and systems for protecting PHI/PII and financial information;
- j. requiring Defendant to implement, maintain, review, and revise as necessary a threat management program to appropriately monitor Defendant's networks for internal and external threats, and assess whether monitoring tools are properly configured, tested, and updated;
- k. requiring Defendant to meaningfully educate all Class Members about the threats that they face as a result of the loss of their confidential personal identifying information to third parties, as well as the steps affected individuals must take to protect themselves.


- 6. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded, at the prevailing legal rate;
- 7. For an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as allowed by law;
- 8. For all other Orders, findings, and determinations sought in this Complaint.

JURY DEMAND

Representative Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Plaintiff Class, hereby demands a trial by jury for all issues triable by jury.

Dated: September 9, 2022

COLE & VAN NOTE

By: 
Cody A. Bolce, Esq.
Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff
and the Plaintiff Class