

FILED

2019 APR 26 P 3:45

SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, CA
BY: [Signature] DEPUTY CLERK

1 Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (S.B. #160744)
2 Andrew Weaver, Esq. (S.B. #318935)
3 **SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC**
4 1485 Civic Court, Suite 1500
5 Concord, California 94520
6 Telephone: (925) 355-5200
7 Facsimile: (925) 887-4454
8 Email: scole@scalaw.com
9 Email: aweaver@scalaw.com
10 Web: www.scalaw.com

11 Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff
12 and the Plaintiff Class

13 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA**

15 MICHAEL CORNER, individually, and
16 on behalf of all others similarly
17 situated,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 FIRST ALARM SECURITY &
21 PATROL, INC., and DOES 1 through
22 100, inclusive,

23 Defendants.

Case No.

C19-00811

CLASS ACTION

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND RESTITUTION**

[Jury Trial Demanded]

PER LOCAL RULE, THIS
CASE IS ASSIGNED TO
DEPT. 39, FOR ALL
PURPOSES.

24 Representative Plaintiff alleges as follows:

25 INTRODUCTION

26 1. This is a class action seeking unpaid regular and overtime wages, including unpaid
27 compensation for meal and/or rest period violations, interest thereon, reimbursement of business
28 expenses, liquidated damages and other penalties, injunctive and other equitable relief, and
reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under, *inter alia*, California Labor Code §§ 200-204, inclusive,
226, 226.7, 226.8, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198, California Business and
Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* and California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Plaintiff
Michael Corner ("Representative Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff") brings this action on behalf of himself

Filed: 4/26/19
200
410-558-1111

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200

COPY

FAKED

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94630
TEL: (925) 355-5200

1 and all other persons similarly situated (“Class Members” and/or the “Plaintiff Class”) who are or
2 have been employed by Defendants First Alarm Security & Patrol, Inc., and/or Does 1 through
3 100, inclusive (collectively “Defendant”) as Patrol Officers and/or Security Guards/Officers
4 within the State of California within the applicable class period.

5 2. The class period is designated as the time from April 26, 2015 through trial, based
6 upon the allegation that the violations of California’s wage and hour laws, as described more fully
7 below, have been ongoing throughout that time.

8 3. During the class period, Defendant has had a consistent policy of (1) permitting,
9 encouraging and/or requiring Plaintiff to work in excess of eight hours per day and/or in excess of
10 forty hours per week without paying him overtime compensation as required by California’s wage
11 and hour laws, (2) unlawfully denying Plaintiff and Class Members statutorily-mandated meal and
12 rest periods, (3) willfully failing to provide Plaintiff and Class Members with accurate
13 semimonthly itemized wage statements reflecting the total number of hours each worked, the
14 applicable deductions, and the applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period, and (4)
15 willfully failing to pay compensation in a prompt and timely manner to Plaintiff and those Class
16 Members whose employment with Defendant has terminated.

17 4. Defendant operates a security service within California for which Representative
18 Plaintiff worked as a Security Officer. The Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and,
19 on that basis, alleges that, within the Class Period, Defendant employed hundreds of individuals
20 in California in recent years to perform security services, employment positions which did not,
21 and currently do not, meet any known test for exemption from the payment of overtime wages
22 and/or the entitlement to meal or rest periods.

23 5. Despite actual knowledge of these facts and legal mandates, Defendant has and
24 continues to enjoy an advantage over its competition and a resultant disadvantage to its workers
25 by electing not to pay all wages due (regular and overtime wages, missed meal and rest period
26 compensation) and/or all penalties dues (including “waiting time” penalties) to its California based
27 patrol officers and/or security guards/officers.
28

1 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

2 17. The Representative Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and as a class
3 action on behalf of all persons similarly situated and proximately damaged by Defendant's conduct
4 including, but not necessarily limited to, the following Plaintiff Class:

5 "All persons employed by Defendant as patrol officers and/or
6 security guards/officers in California at any time on or after April
26, 2015."

7 18. Defendant's officers and directors are excluded from the Plaintiff Class.

8 19. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action
9 under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because there is a well-defined community of
10 interest in the litigation and the proposed Class is easily ascertainable.

11 a. Numerosity: A class action is the only available method for the fair
12 and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The members of the
13 Plaintiff Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
14 impractical, if not impossible, insofar as Representative Plaintiff is
15 informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that there are
sufficient Class Members to meet the numerosity requirement.
Membership in the Class will be determined upon analysis of
employee and payroll, among other, records maintained by
Defendant.

16 b. Commonality: The Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members
17 share a community of interests in that there are numerous common
18 questions and issues of fact and law which predominate over any
questions and issues solely affecting individual members, including,
but not necessarily limited to:

- 19 1) Whether Defendant violated California Business and
20 Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.* by failing to provide meal
and/or rest breaks to Class Members working eligible shifts;
- 21 2) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code § 1174 by
22 failing to keep accurate records of employees' hours of work;
- 23 3) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code §§ 201-204
24 by failing to pay wages due and owing at the time that certain
Class Members' employment with Defendant terminated;
- 25 4) Whether Defendant violated California Labor Code § 226 by
26 failing to provide semimonthly itemized statements to Class
Members of total hours worked by each and all applicable
hourly rates in effect during the pay period; and
- 27 5) Whether Class Members are entitled to "waiting time"
28 penalties, pursuant to California Labor Code § 203.

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- c. Typicality: The Representative Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of Class Members. The Representative Plaintiff and Class Members sustained damages arising out of and caused by Defendant's common course of conduct in violation of law, as alleged herein.

- d. Adequacy of Representation: The Representative Plaintiff in this class action is an adequate representative of the Plaintiff Class in that the Representative Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Plaintiff Class and the Representative Plaintiff has the same interest in the litigation of this case as the Class Members. The Representative Plaintiff is committed to vigorous prosecution of this case and has retained competent counsel who are experienced in conducting litigation of this nature. The Representative Plaintiff is not subject to any individual defenses unique from those conceivably applicable to Class Members as a whole. The Representative Plaintiff anticipates no management difficulties in this litigation.

- e. Superiority of Class Action: Since the damages suffered by individual Class Members, while not inconsequential, may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation by each member makes or may make it impractical for Class Members to seek redress individually for the wrongful conduct alleged herein. Should separate actions be brought, or be required to be brought, by each individual Class Member, the resulting multiplicity of lawsuits would cause undue hardship and expense for the Court and the litigants. The prosecution of separate actions would also create a risk of inconsistent rulings which might be dispositive of the interests of other Class Members who are not parties to the adjudications and/or may substantially impede their ability to adequately protect their interests.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20. As described herein, for years, Defendant has knowingly failed to adequately compensate those employees within the class definition identified above for all wages earned (including premium wages such as compensation for missed meal and/or rest periods) under the California Labor Code and the applicable IWC Wage Order, thereby enjoying a significant competitive edge over other service providers.

21. Defendant has declined to pay these wages, even upon a Class Member's termination or resignation from employment, in blatant violation of California Labor Code § 201 and/or § 202.

22. California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 require Defendant to pay severed employees all wages due and owed to the employee immediately upon discharge or within 72 hours of

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200

1 resignation of their positions, in most circumstances. California Labor Code § 203 provides that
2 an employer who willfully fails to timely pay such wages must, as a penalty, continue to pay the
3 subject employees' wages until the back wages are paid in full or an action is commenced, and the
4 payment of such penalty shall continue for a period of time up to 30 days.

5 23. Furthermore, despite its knowledge of the Representative Plaintiff's and the Class
6 Members' entitlement to compensation for all hours worked, Defendant violated California Labor
7 Code § 1174(d) by failing to provide or require the use, maintenance, or submission of time records
8 by members of the Plaintiff Class. Defendant also failed to provide the Representative Plaintiff
9 and Class Members with accurate semimonthly itemized statements of the total number of hours
10 worked by each, and all applicable hourly rates in effect, during the pay period, in violation of
11 California Labor Code § 226. In failing to provide the required documents, Defendant has not only
12 failed to pay its workers the full amount of compensation due but the company has also, until now,
13 effectively shielded itself from its employees' scrutiny by concealing the magnitude and financial
14 impact of its wrongdoing that such documents might otherwise have led workers to discover.

15 24. Representative Plaintiff and all persons similarly situated are entitled to unpaid
16 compensation, yet, to date, have not received such compensation despite many of the same having
17 been terminated by and/or resigned from Defendant's employ. More than 30 days have passed
18 since certain Class Members have left Defendant's employ.

19 25. As a consequence of Defendant's willful conduct in not paying former employees'
20 compensation for all hours worked in a prompt and timely manner, certain Class Members are
21 entitled to up to 30 days wages as a penalty under California Labor Code § 203, together with
22 attorneys' fees and costs.

23 26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth
24 herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages, as described above,
25 including compensation for loss of earnings for hours worked on behalf of Defendant, in an amount
26 to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct,
27 as set forth herein, certain Class Members are entitled to recover "waiting time" penalties (pursuant
28 to California Labor Code § 203) and penalties for failure to provide semimonthly statements of

1 hours worked and all applicable hourly rates (pursuant to California Labor Code § 226) in an
2 amount to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful
3 conduct, as set forth herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to recover
4 costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, among other
5 authorities.

6 27. Representative Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from
7 engaging in the complained-of illegal labor acts and practices in the future. Representative Plaintiff
8 also seeks restitution of costs incurred by Representative Plaintiff and Class Members under
9 California's Unfair Competition Law. Unless enjoined, Defendant's unlawful conduct will
10 continue unchecked, while Representative Plaintiff and Class Members bear the financial brunt of
11 Defendant's unlawful conduct. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful
12 conduct, as set forth herein, Representative Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class are also entitled to
13 recover costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to statute.

14
15 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**
16 **UNLAWFUL FAILURE TO PAY WAGES**
17 **(California Labor Code §§ 200-204, 510, 558, 1194, and 1198; IWC Wage Order(s))**

18 28. Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every allegation of the
19 preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

20 29. During the limitations period, Plaintiff performed work for Defendant, oftentimes
21 in excess of eight hours in a workday and/or forty hours in a workweek. The number of hours will
22 be proven at trial.

23 30. During the limitations period, Defendant refused to compensate Plaintiff for all of
24 the wages earned, in violation of the applicable IWC Wage Order(s) and provisions of the
25 California Labor Code.

26 31. At all relevant times, Defendant was aware of, and was under a duty to comply
27 with, the overtime provisions of the California Labor Code including, but not limited to, California
28 Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and 1198.

32. California Labor Code § 510(a), in pertinent part, provides:

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Any work in excess of eight hours in one workday and any work in excess of 40 hours in any one workweek and the first eight hours worked on the seventh day of work in any one workweek shall be compensated at the rate of no less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for an employee.

33. California Labor Code § 1194(a), in pertinent part, provides:

Notwithstanding any agreement to work for a lesser wage, any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage or the legal overtime compensation applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of this minimum wage or overtime compensation, including interest thereon, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of suit.

34. California Labor Code § 1198, in pertinent part, provides:

The maximum hours of work and the standard conditions of labor fixed by the commission shall be the maximum hours of work and the standard conditions of labor for employees. The employment of any employee for longer hours than those fixed by the order or under conditions of labor prohibited by the order is unlawful.

35. By refusing to compensate Plaintiff for overtime wages earned, Defendant violated those California Labor Code provisions cited herein as well as the applicable IWC Wage Order(s).

36. Defendant's conduct, as heretofore detailed, represents underpayment of wages pursuant to California Labor Code § 558 for which Plaintiffs seek damages and/or penalties according to proof.

37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff has sustained damages, including loss of earnings for hours of overtime worked on behalf of Defendant, in an amount to be established at trial. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover penalties in amounts to be established at trial, as well as attorneys' fees and costs, and restitution, pursuant to statute.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL AND REST PERIODS
(California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512)

38. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

1 39. At all relevant times, Defendant was aware of and was under a duty to comply with
2 California Labor Code § 226.7 and §512.

3 40. California Labor Code § 226.7 provides:

4 (a) No employer shall require any employee to work during any
5 meal or rest period mandated by an applicable order of the Industrial
6 Welfare Commission.

7 (b) If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period or
8 rest period in accordance with an applicable order of the Industrial
9 Welfare Commission, the employer shall pay the employee one
10 additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of
11 compensation for each work day that the meal or rest period is not
12 provided.

13 41. Moreover, California Labor Code § 512(a) provides:

14 An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of
15 more than five hours per day without providing the employee with
16 a meal period of not less than 30 minutes, except that if the total
17 work period per day of the employee is no more than six hours, the
18 meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer
19 and employee. An employer may not employ an employee for a
20 work period of more than 10 hours per day without providing the
21 employee with a second meal period of not less than 30 minutes,
22 except that if the total hours worked is no more than 12 hours, the
23 second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the
24 employer and the employee only if the first meal period was not
25 waived.

26 42. Sections 11 and 12, respectively, of the applicable IWC Wage Order mandate that
27 employers provide all applicable meal and/or rest periods to non-exempt (including exempt-
28 misclassified) employees.

43. Section 11 of the applicable IWC Wage Order provides:

(A) No employer shall employ any person for a work period of more
than five (5) hours without a meal period of not less than 30
minutes...

(B) An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of
more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the
employee with a second meal period of not less than 30
minutes...

(C) If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period in
accordance with the applicable provisions of this order, the
employer shall pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the
employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that
the meal period is not provided.

1 44. Moreover, Section 12 of the applicable IWC Wage Order provides:

2 (A) Every employer shall authorize and permit all employees to
3 take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle
4 of each work period. The authorized rest period time shall be based
5 on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net
6 rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof

7 (B) If an employer fails to provide an employee a rest period in
8 accordance with the applicable provisions of this order, the
9 employer shall pay the employee one (1) hour of pay at the
10 employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that the
11 rest period is not provided.

12 45. By failing to consistently provide uninterrupted thirty-minute meal periods within
13 the first five hours of work each day and/or uninterrupted net ten-minute rest periods to
14 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant violated the California Labor Code and
15 applicable IWC Wage Order provisions.

16 46. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that
17 Defendant has never paid the one hour of compensation to any Class Member due to its violations
18 of the California Labor Code and applicable IWC Wage Order provisions.

19 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth
20 herein, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages, including lost
21 compensation resulting from missed meal and/or rest periods, in an amount to be established at
22 trial.

23 48. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set
24 forth herein, certain Class Members are entitled to recover other penalties, in amounts to be
25 established at trial, as well as recovery of attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to statute.

26 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
27 **FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE ITEMIZED WAGE STATEMENTS**
28 **(California Labor Code §§ 226 and 1174)**

49. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every
allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth
herein.

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

50. California Labor Code § 226(a) provides:

Each employer shall semimonthly, or at the time of each payment of wages, furnish each of his or her employees either as a detachable part of the check, draft or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately when wages are paid by personal check or cash, an itemized wage statement in writing showing: (1) gross wages earned; (2) total number of hours worked by each employee whose compensation is based on an hourly wage; (3) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item; (4) net wages earned; (5) the inclusive date of the period for which the employee is paid; (6) the name of the employee and his or her social security number; and (7) the name and address of the legal entity which is the employer.

51. Moreover, California Labor Code § 226(e) provides:

An employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure by an employer to comply with subdivision (a) is entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50) for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for each violation in a subsequent pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and is entitled to an award of costs and reasonable attorney's fees.

52. Finally, California Labor Code § 1174(d) provides:

Every person employing labor in this state shall. . . [k]eep, at a central location in the state...payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to...employees.... These records shall be kept in accordance with rules established for this purpose by the commission, but in any case shall be kept on file for not less than two years.

53. Representative Plaintiff seeks to recover actual damages, costs, and attorneys' fees under these provisions on behalf of himself and on behalf of all Class Members.

54. Defendant has failed to provide timely, accurate itemized wage statements to the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in accordance with California Labor Code § 226. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that basis, alleges that none of the statements provided by Defendant accurately reflected actual gross wages earned, net wages earned, or the appropriate deductions of such Class Members.

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200

1 55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth
2 herein, the Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have sustained damages in an amount to
3 be established at trial, and are entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
4

5 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
6 **FAILURE TO PAY WAGES ON TERMINATION**
7 **(California Labor Code § 203)**

8 56. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every
9 allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth
10 herein.

11 57. California Labor Code § 203 provides that:

12 If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in
13 accordance with Sections 201, 201.5, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an
14 employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall
15 continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or
16 until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for
17 more than 30 days.

18 58. Numerous Class Members were employed by Defendant during the class period
19 and were thereafter terminated or resigned from their positions, yet they were not paid all premium
20 (overtime) wages due upon said termination or within 72 hours of said resignation of employment
21 therefrom. Said non-payment was the direct and proximate result of a willful refusal to do so by
22 Defendant.

23 59. More than 30 days have elapsed since certain Class Members were involuntarily
24 terminated or voluntarily resigned from Defendant's employ.

25 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's willful conduct in failing to pay
26 said Class Members for all hours worked, affected Class Members are entitled to recover "waiting
27 time" penalties of up to thirty days' wages pursuant to California Labor Code § 203 in an amount
28 to be established at trial, together with interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs.

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES UNDER THE UNFAIR COMPETITION ACT
(California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-17208)

1
2
3 61. Representative Plaintiff incorporates in this cause of action each and every
4 allegation of the preceding paragraphs, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth
5 herein.

6 62. Representative Plaintiff further brings this cause of action seeking equitable and
7 statutory relief to stop Defendant's misconduct, as complained of herein, and to seek restitution of
8 the amounts Defendant acquired through the unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices
9 described herein.

10 63. Defendant's knowing conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes an unlawful and/or
11 fraudulent business practice, as set forth in California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200-
12 17208. Specifically, Defendant conducted business activities while failing to comply with the legal
13 mandates cited herein.

14 64. Defendant has clearly established a policy of accepting a certain amount of
15 collateral damage, as represented by the damages to the Representative Plaintiff and to Class
16 Members herein alleged, as incidental to its business operations, rather than accept the alternative
17 costs of full compliance with fair, lawful, and honest business practices, ordinarily borne by its
18 responsible competitors and as set forth in legislation and the judicial record.

RELIEF SOUGHT

19
20
21 **WHEREFORE, the Representative Plaintiff**, on behalf of himself and the proposed
22 Plaintiff Class, prays for judgment and the following specific relief against Defendants, and each
23 of them, jointly and separately, as follows:

24 1. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that this action is a proper class action
25 and certify the proposed Class and/or any other appropriate subclasses under California Code of
26 Civil Procedure § 382;

1 2. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that Defendant willfully violated its
2 legal duties to pay all wages due under the California Labor Code and the applicable California
3 Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders;

4 3. That the Court make an award to the Representative Plaintiff and the Class
5 Members of one hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday
6 that a meal period was not provided;

7 4. That the Court make an award to the Representative Plaintiff and the Class
8 Members of one hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday
9 that a rest period was not provided;

10 5. That the Court Order Defendant to pay restitution to the Representative Plaintiff
11 and the Class Members due to Defendant's unlawful activities, pursuant to California Business
12 and Professions Code §§ 17200-17208;

13 6. That the Court further enjoin Defendant, ordering it to cease and desist from
14 unlawful activities in violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*;

15 7. For all other Orders, findings and determinations identified and sought in this
16 Complaint;

17 8. For interest on the amount of any and all economic losses, at the prevailing legal
18 rate;

19 9. That the Court make an award to Plaintiffs of penalties, pursuant to California
20 Labor Code §§ 203, 226, 558, and 1174.5, in an amount to be proven at trial;

21 10. For reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §
22 1021.5; and

23 11. For costs of suit and any and all such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.
24
25
26
27
28

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
THE COLE BUILDING
1485 CIVIC COURT, SUITE 1500
CONCORD, CA 94520
TEL: (925) 355-5200


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURY DEMAND

Representative Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Plaintiff Class, hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: April 26, 2019

SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC

By: 

Andrew Weaver, Esq.
Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff
and the Plaintiff Class