

1 Matthew L. Sharp, Esq. (S.B. #4746)  
2 **MATTHEW L. SHARP, LTD.**  
3 432 Ridge Street  
4 Reno, Nevada 89501  
5 Telephone: (775) 324-1500  
6 Email: matt@mattsharplaw.com  
7 Web: https://mattsharplaw.com/

8 Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (*Pro Hac Vice* Forthcoming)  
9 Laura Grace Van Note, Esq. (*Pro Hac Vice* Forthcoming)  
10 Cody Alexander Bolce, Esq. (*Pro Hac Vice* Forthcoming)  
11 **COLE & VAN NOTE**  
12 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1725  
13 Oakland, California 94607  
14 Telephone: (510) 891-9800  
15 Facsimile: (510) 891-7030  
16 Email: sec@colevannote.com  
17 Email: lvn@colevannote.com  
18 Email: cab@colevannote.com  
19 Web: www.colevannote.com

20 Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff  
21 and the Plaintiff Class(es)

22 **DISTRICT COURT**  
23 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

24 STACIE WAGANER, individually, and on  
25 behalf of all others similarly situated,  
26  
27 Plaintiff,  
28 vs.  
29 NEUROLOGY CENTER OF NEVADA,  
30  
31 Defendant.

32 **Case No.**  
33 **CLASS ACTION**  
34 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,**  
35 **INJUNCTIVE AND EQUITABLE RELIEF**  
36 **FOR:**  
37 **1. NEGLIGENCE;**  
38 **2. BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT;**  
39 **3. UNJUST ENRICHMENT**  
40 **[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]**

1 Representative Plaintiff alleges as follows:  
2

3 **INTRODUCTION**

4 1. Representative Plaintiff Stacie Waganer (“Waganer”)(“Representative Plaintiff”)  
5 brings this class action against Defendant Neurology Center of Nevada (“Defendant”) for its  
6 failure to properly secure and safeguard Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ personally  
7 identifiable information stored within Defendant’s information network, including, without  
8 limitation, their full names, addresses, dates of birth, gender, health insurance information, and  
9 medical information, including diagnosis/treatment information, lab results, and medication, and  
10 Social Security numbers (these types of information, *inter alia*, being hereafter referred to,  
11 collectively, as “personally identifiable information” or “PII”).<sup>1</sup>

12 2. With this action, Representative Plaintiff seeks to hold Defendant responsible for  
13 the harms it caused and will continue to cause Representative Plaintiff and the countless other  
14 similarly situated persons in the massive and preventable cyberattack that Defendant discovered  
15 an July 17, 2022, by which cybercriminals infiltrated Defendant’s inadequately protected network  
16 servers and accessed highly sensitive PHI/PII which was being kept unprotected (the “Data  
17 Breach”).

18 3. Representative Plaintiff further seek to hold Defendant responsible for not ensuring  
19 that the compromised PHI/PII was maintained in a manner consistent with industry and other  
20 relevant standards.

21 4. While Defendant claims to have detected unusual activity on its network as early  
22 as July 17, 2022, it did not immediately report the security incident to Representative Plaintiff or  
23 Class Members. Indeed, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members were wholly unaware of the  
24

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> Personally identifiable information (“PII”) generally incorporates information that can be  
27 used to distinguish or trace an individual’s identity, either alone or when combined with other  
28 personal or identifying information. 2 C.F.R. § 200.79. At a minimum, it includes all information  
that on its face expressly identifies an individual. PII also is generally defined to include certain  
identifiers that do not on their face name an individual, but that are considered to be particularly  
sensitive and/or valuable if in the wrong hands (for example, Social Security numbers, passport  
numbers, driver’s license numbers, financial account numbers).

1 Data Breach until they received letter(s) from Defendant informing them of it. In particular, the  
2 notices Representative Plaintiff received was dated November 11, 2022.

3 5. Defendant acquired, collected, and stored Representative Plaintiff’s and Class  
4 Members’ PHI/PII and/or financial information in connection with Defendant’s provision of legal  
5 services.

6 6. Therefore, at all relevant times, Defendant knew, or should have known, that  
7 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members would use Defendant’s networks to store and/or share  
8 sensitive data, including highly confidential PHI/PII , because Defendant required that they  
9 provide this information to receive its services.

10 7. By obtaining, collecting, using, and deriving a benefit from Representative  
11 Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII , Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties to those  
12 individuals. These duties arise from state and federal statutes and regulations as well as common  
13 law principles.

14 8. Defendant disregarded the rights of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by  
15 intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take and implement adequate and  
16 reasonable measures to ensure that Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII was  
17 safeguarded, failing to take available steps to prevent an unauthorized disclosure of data, and  
18 failing to follow applicable, required, and appropriate protocols, policies, and procedures regarding  
19 the encryption of data, even for internal use. As a result, the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff  
20 and Class Members was compromised through disclosure to an unknown and unauthorized third-  
21 party—an undoubtedly nefarious third-party that seeks to profit off this disclosure by defrauding  
22 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in the future. Representative Plaintiff and Class  
23 Members have a continuing interest in ensuring that their information is and remains safe, and they  
24 are entitled to injunctive and other equitable relief.

25  
26 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**  
27  
28

1 9. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court because Defendant is domiciled in this judicial  
2 district for purposes of jurisdiction and a substantial portion of the events giving rise to the  
3 Complaint took place in this judicial district.

4 10. Venue is proper in this Court under Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 13.010 because  
5 Defendant contracted to perform an obligation in this county, this is the county in Defendant  
6 resides, and there is no special contract to the contrary.

7  
8 **PLAINTIFF**

9 11. Waganer is an adult individual and, at all relevant times herein, a resident of the  
10 State of Nevada. Waganer is a victim of the Data Breach.

11 12. In connection with its ordinary course of business, Defendant collected PHI/PII  
12 from Waganer. As a result, Waganer's information was among the data accessed by an  
13 unauthorized third-party in the Data Breach.

14 13. At all times herein relevant, Waganer is and was a member of the Classes.

15 14. Waganer provided Defendant with highly sensitive personal and financial  
16 information.

17 15. Waganer's PHI/PII was exposed in the Data Breach because Defendant stored  
18 and/or shared Representative Plaintiff's PHI/PII and financial information. Waganer's PHI/PII  
19 and financial information was within the possession and control of Defendant at the time of the  
20 Data Breach.

21 16. Representative Plaintiff received a letter from Defendant, dated November 11,  
22 2022, informing them that her PHI/PII and/or financial information was involved in the Data  
23 Breach (the "Notice"). The Notice explained that "[o]n July 17, 2022, [Defendant's] certain files  
24 were accessed by an unknown actor." It further provided that Defendant "took steps" to secure its  
25 network "and engaged a digital forensics firm to investigate the cause and scope of the incident."  
26  
27  
28

1 Through this review, Defendant ultimately determined that Representative Plaintiff’s data was  
2 among that affected in the Data Breach.<sup>2</sup>

3 17. The notice also included a “**What You Can Do**” section that urged Representative  
4 Plaintiff to review an attached document outlining what they should do to protect her information.  
5 It first recommended that they enroll in and activate the credit monitoring service Defendant was  
6 providing to victims of the Data Breach. The next step was to call the credit monitoring service to  
7 “gain additional information about this event and speak with knowledgeable representatives about  
8 the appropriate steps to take to protect your credit identity.” Finally, it recommended that  
9 Representative Plaintiff self-monitor her account statements and provided information about how  
10 they could obtain a copy of her respective credit reports.

11 18. Based on Defendant’s recommendation to take action to protect her data.  
12 Representative Plaintiff has already spent and will continue to spend time dealing with the  
13 consequences of the Data Breach. This includes, without limitation, time spent verifying the  
14 legitimacy and impact of the Data Breach, exploring credit monitoring and identity theft insurance  
15 options, self-monitoring various accounts, and seeking legal counsel regarding options for  
16 remedying and/or mitigating the effects of the Data Breach. This time has been lost forever and  
17 cannot be recaptured.

18 19. Representative Plaintiff suffered actual injury in the form of damages to and  
19 diminution in the value of Representative Plaintiff’s PHI/PII—a form of intangible property that  
20 Representative Plaintiff entrusted to Defendant for the purpose of receiving products/services,  
21 which was compromised in and as a result of the Data Breach.

22 20. Representative Plaintiff suffered lost time, annoyance, interference, and  
23 inconvenience as a result of the Data Breach and has anxiety and increased concerns for the loss  
24 of privacy, as well as anxiety over the impact of cybercriminals accessing and using sensitive  
25 PHI/PII and/or financial information.

26  
27  
28 <sup>2</sup> A sample notice substantially similar to the one Representative Plaintiff received was provided on the Neurology  
Center of Nevada website which can be found here: [https://neurocnv.com/notice-of-data-security-  
event/#:~:text=What%20Happened%3F,and%20scope%20of%20the%20event.](https://neurocnv.com/notice-of-data-security-event/#:~:text=What%20Happened%3F,and%20scope%20of%20the%20event.) (last accessed December 8, 2022).



1 “All individuals within the state of Nevada whose PHI/PII and/or financial  
2 information was exposed to unauthorized third-parties as a result of the data  
3 breach discovered on July 17, 2022.”

4 27. Excluded from the Classes are the following individuals and/or entities: (a)  
5 Defendant and Defendant’s parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors, and any entity  
6 in which Defendant has a controlling interest; (b) all individuals who make a timely election to be  
7 excluded from this proceeding using the correct protocol for opting out; (c) any and all federal,  
8 state, or local governments, including but not limited to its departments, agencies, divisions,  
9 bureaus, boards, sections, groups, counsels, and/or subdivisions; and (d) all judges assigned to hear  
10 any aspect of this litigation, as well as her immediate family members.

11 28. Representative Plaintiff reserve the right to request additional subclasses be added,  
12 as necessary, based on the types of PHI/PII and financial information that were compromised  
13 and/or the nature of certain Class Members’ relationship(s) to the Defendant. At present,  
14 collectively, Class Members include, *inter alia*, all persons within the United States whose data  
15 was accessed in the Data Breach.

16 29. Representative Plaintiff reserve the right to amend the above definition in  
17 subsequent pleadings and/or motions for class certification.

18 30. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action  
19 under Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 23 because there is a well-defined community of  
20 interest in the litigation and membership in the proposed classes is easily ascertainable.

21 a. Numerosity: A class action is the only available method for the fair and  
22 efficient adjudication of this controversy. The members of the Plaintiff  
23 Classes are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical, if not  
24 impossible. Representative Plaintiff is informed and believes and, on that  
25 basis, alleges that the total number of Class Members is in the hundreds or  
26 thousands of individuals. Membership in the Classes will be determined by  
27 analysis of Defendant’s records.

28 b. Commonality: Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members share a  
community of interests in that there are numerous common questions and  
issues of fact and law which predominate over any questions and issues  
solely affecting individual members, including, but not necessarily limited  
to:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1) Whether Defendant had a legal duty to Representative Plaintiff and the Classes to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using, and/or safeguarding her PHI/PII ;
  - 2) Whether Defendant knew, or should have known, of the susceptibility of its data security systems to a data breach;
  - 3) Whether Defendant’s security procedures and practices to protect its systems were reasonable in light of the measures recommended by data security experts;
  - 4) Whether Defendant’s failure to implement adequate data security measures allowed the Data Breach to occur;
  - 5) Whether Defendant failed to comply with its own policies and applicable laws, regulations, and industry standards relating to data security;
  - 6) Whether Defendant adequately, promptly, and accurately informed Representative Plaintiff and Class Members that their PHI/PII had been compromised;
  - 7) How and when Defendant actually learned of the Data Breach;
  - 8) Whether Defendant’s conduct, including its failure to act, resulted in or was the proximate cause of the breach of its systems, resulting in the loss of the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
  - 9) Whether Defendant adequately addressed and fixed the vulnerabilities which permitted the Data Breach to occur;
  - 10) Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive practices by failing to safeguard the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
  - 11) Whether Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to actual and/or statutory damages and/or whether injunctive, corrective and/or declaratory relief and/or an accounting is/are appropriate as a result of Defendant’s wrongful conduct;
  - 12) Whether Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant’s wrongful conduct.
- c. Typicality: Representative Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the Plaintiff Classes. Representative Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Classes sustained damages arising out of and caused by Defendant’s common course of conduct in violation of law, as alleged herein. The same event and conduct that gave rise to Representative Plaintiff’s claims are identical to those that give rise to the claims of every Class Member because Representative Plaintiff and Class Members alike had their Stored Data compromised in the same way by the same conduct of Defendant. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members face identical threats resulting from the resetting of their hard drives and/or access by cyber-criminals to the Stored Data maintained thereon.
- d. Adequacy of Representation: Representative Plaintiff is an adequate representative of each of the Plaintiff Classes in that Representative Plaintiff



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

has the same interest in the litigation of this case as the Class Members, is committed to vigorous prosecution of this case, and has retained competent counsel who are experienced in conducting litigation of this nature. Representative Plaintiff is not subject to any individual defenses unique from those conceivably applicable to other Class Members or the Classes in their entirety. Representative Plaintiff anticipate no management difficulties in this litigation. Representative Plaintiff and her counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of all Class Members.

e. Superiority of Class Action: The damages suffered by individual Class Members are significant but may be small relative to the enormous expense of individual litigation by each member. This makes, or may make it, impractical for members of the Plaintiff Classes to seek redress individually for the wrongful conduct alleged herein. Even if Class Members could afford such individual litigation, the court system could not. Should separate actions be brought or be required to be brought by each individual member of the Plaintiff Classes, the resulting multiplicity of lawsuits would cause undue hardship and expense for the Court and the litigants. The prosecution of separate actions would also create a risk of inconsistent rulings which might be dispositive of the interests of other Class Members who are not parties to the adjudications and/or may substantially impede their ability to adequately protect their interests. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties, and to the court system, presented by the complex legal and factual issues of the case. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

31. Class certification is proper because the questions raised by this Complaint are of common or general interest affecting numerous persons, such that it is impracticable to bring all Class Members before the Court.

32. This class action is also appropriate for certification because Defendant has acted and/or has refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward Class Members and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Classes in their entireties. Defendant's policies challenged herein apply to and affect Class Members uniformly and Representative Plaintiff's challenge of these policies and conduct hinges on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Classes in their entireties, not on facts or law applicable only to Representative Plaintiff.

33. Unless a Class-wide injunction is issued, Defendant's violations may continue, and Defendant may continue to act unlawfully as set forth in this Complaint.

1 **COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

2 **The Cyberattack**

3 34. In the course of the Data Breach, one or more unauthorized third-parties accessed  
4 Class Members' sensitive data including, but not limited to, full names, addresses, dates of birth,  
5 gender, health insurance information, and medical information, including diagnosis/treatment  
6 information, lab results, and medication, and Social Security numbers. Representative Plaintiff  
7 were among the individuals whose information was accessed in the Data Breach.

8 35. According to the sample data breach notification Defendant on its company website  
9 on or around November 11, 2022, Defendant believes that unauthorized parties gained access to  
10 its information network and, in doing so, accessed Representative Plaintiff's private information.

11 36. Representative Plaintiff was provided this information upon receipt of the notice,  
12 dated November 11, 2022. Representative Plaintiff was not aware of the Data Breach until  
13 receiving the Notice.

14  
15 **Defendant's Failed Response to the Breach**

16 37. Not until over a month after the Data Breach did Defendant begin sending the  
17 Notice to persons whose PHI/PII and/or financial information Defendant confirmed was  
18 potentially compromised as a result of the Data Breach. The Notice provided basic details of the  
19 Data Breach and Defendant's recommended next steps.

20 38. Upon information and belief, the unauthorized third-party cybercriminals gained  
21 access to Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII with the intent of engaging in  
22 misuse of the PHI/PII , including marketing and selling Representative Plaintiff's and Class  
23 Members' PHI/PII .

24 39. Defendant had and continues to have obligations created by reasonable industry  
25 standards, common law, state statutory law, and its own assurances and representations to keep  
26 Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII confidential and to protect such PHI/PII  
27 from unauthorized access.

28

1           40. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members were required to provide their PHI/PII  
2 and financial information to Defendant with the reasonable expectation and mutual understanding  
3 that Defendant would comply with its obligations to keep such information confidential and secure  
4 from unauthorized access.

5           41. Despite this, Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members remain, even today,  
6 in the dark regarding what particular data was stolen, the particular malware used, and what steps  
7 are being taken, if any, to secure their PHI/PII and financial information going forward.  
8 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members are left to speculate as to the full impact of the Data  
9 Breach and how exactly Defendant intended to enhance its information security systems and  
10 monitoring capabilities so as to prevent further breaches.

11           42. Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII may end up for sale on the  
12 dark web, or simply fall into the hands of companies that will use the detailed PHI/PII for targeted  
13 marketing without the approval of Representative Plaintiff and/or Class Members. Either way,  
14 unauthorized individuals can now easily access the PHI/PII and/or financial information of  
15 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

16  
17 **Defendant Collected/Stored Class Members' PHI/PII and Financial Information**

18           43. Defendant acquired, collected, and stored and assured reasonable security over  
19 Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information.

20           44. To purchase or otherwise receive its goods/services, Defendant required that  
21 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members provide it with, *inter alia*, full names, addresses, dates  
22 of birth, gender, health insurance information, and medical information, including  
23 diagnosis/treatment information, lab results, and medication, and Social Security numbers.

24           45. By obtaining, collecting, and storing Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members'  
25 PHI/PII and financial information, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or  
26 should have known that they were thereafter responsible for protecting Representative Plaintiff's  
27 and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information from unauthorized disclosure.

28

1 46. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have taken reasonable steps to  
2 maintain the confidentiality of their PHI/PII and financial information. Representative Plaintiff  
3 and Class Members relied on Defendant to keep their PHI/PII and financial information  
4 confidential and securely maintained, to use this information for business purposes only, and to  
5 make only authorized disclosures of this information.

6 47. Defendant could have prevented the Data Breach by properly securing and  
7 encrypting and/or more securely encrypting its servers generally, as well as Representative  
8 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information.

9 48. Defendant's negligence in safeguarding Representative Plaintiff's and Class  
10 Members' PHI/PII and financial information is exacerbated by repeated warnings and alerts  
11 directed to protecting and securing sensitive data, as evidenced by the trending data breach attacks  
12 in recent years.

13 49. Due to the high-profile nature of many recent data breaches, Defendant was and/or  
14 certainly should have been on notice and aware of such attacks occurring and, therefore, should  
15 have assumed and adequately performed the duty of preparing for such an imminent attack.

16 50. Yet, despite the prevalence of public announcements of data breach and data  
17 security compromises, Defendant failed to take appropriate steps to protect Representative  
18 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information from being compromised

19 **Defendant Had an Obligation to Protect the Stolen Information**

20 51. Defendant's failure to adequately secure Representative Plaintiff's and Class  
21 Members' sensitive data breaches duties it owed Representative Plaintiff and Class Members  
22 under statutory and common law. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members surrendered their  
23 highly sensitive personal data to Defendant under the implied condition that Defendant would keep  
24 it private and secure. Defendant had an implied duty to safeguard their data, independent of any  
25 statute.

26 52. In addition to its obligations under federal and state laws, Defendant owed a duty  
27 to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining,  
28 securing, safeguarding, deleting, and protecting the PHI/PII and financial information in

1 Defendant's possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed, and misused by  
2 unauthorized persons. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to  
3 provide reasonable security, including consistency with industry standards and requirements, and  
4 to ensure that its computer systems, networks, and protocols adequately protected the PHI/PII and  
5 financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

6 53. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to design,  
7 maintain, and test its computer systems, servers, and networks to ensure that the PHI/PII and  
8 financial information in its possession was adequately secured and protected.

9 54. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to create and  
10 implement reasonable data security practices and procedures to protect the PHI/PII and financial  
11 information in its possession, including not sharing information with other entities who maintained  
12 sub-standard data security systems.

13 55. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to  
14 implement processes that would detect a breach on its data security systems in a timely manner.

15 56. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to act upon  
16 data security warnings and alerts in a timely fashion.

17 57. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to disclose  
18 if its computer systems and data security practices were inadequate to safeguard individuals'  
19 PHI/PII and/or financial information from theft because such an inadequacy would be a material  
20 fact in the decision to entrust this PHI/PII and/or financial information to Defendant.

21 58. Defendant owed a duty of care to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members  
22 because they were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate data security practices.

23 59. Defendant owed a duty to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to encrypt  
24 and/or more reliably encrypt Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial  
25 information and monitor user behavior and activity in order to identify possible threats.

26  
27 **Value of the Relevant Sensitive Information**  
28

1           60.     The ramifications of Defendant’s failure to keep secure Representative Plaintiff’s  
2 and Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information are long lasting and severe. Once PHI/PII  
3 and financial information is stolen, fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may  
4 continue for years. Indeed, the PHI/PII and/or financial information of Representative Plaintiff  
5 and Class Members was taken by hackers to engage in identity theft or to sell it to other criminals  
6 who will purchase the PHI/PII and/or financial information for that purpose. The fraudulent  
7 activity resulting from the Data Breach may not come to light for years.

8           61.     These criminal activities have and will result in devastating financial and personal  
9 losses to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. For example, it is believed that certain  
10 PHI/PII compromised in the 2017 Experian data breach was being used, three years later, by  
11 identity thieves to apply for COVID-19-related benefits in the state of Oklahoma. Such fraud will  
12 be an omnipresent threat for Representative Plaintiff and Class Members for the rest of their lives.  
13 They will need to remain constantly vigilant.

14           62.     The FTC defines identity theft as “a fraud committed or attempted using the  
15 identifying information of another person without authority.” The FTC describes “identifying  
16 information” as “any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other  
17 information, to identify a specific person,” including, among other things, “[n]ame, Social Security  
18 number, date of birth, official State or government issued driver’s license or identification number,  
19 alien registration number, government passport number, employer or taxpayer identification  
20 number.”

21           63.     Identity thieves can use PHI/PII and financial information, such as that of  
22 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members which Defendant failed to keep secure, to perpetrate  
23 a variety of crimes that harm victims. For instance, identity thieves may commit various types of  
24 government fraud such as immigration fraud, obtaining a driver’s license or identification card in  
25 the victim’s name but with another’s picture, using the victim’s information to obtain government  
26 benefits, or filing a fraudulent tax return using the victim’s information to obtain a fraudulent  
27 refund.

28

1           64.     There may be a time lag between when harm occurs versus when it is discovered,  
2 and also between when PHI/PII and/or financial information is stolen and when it is used.  
3 According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), which conducted a study  
4 regarding data breaches:

5           [L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for  
6 up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen  
7 data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may  
8 continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting  
9 from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.<sup>4</sup>

10           65.     If cyber criminals manage to access to personally sensitive data—as they did here—  
11 there is no limit to the amount of fraud to which Defendant may have exposed Representative  
12 Plaintiff and Class Members.

13           66.     And data breaches are preventable.<sup>5</sup> As Lucy Thompson wrote in the DATA BREACH  
14 AND ENCRYPTION HANDBOOK, “[i]n almost all cases, the data breaches that occurred could have  
15 been prevented by proper planning and the correct design and implementation of appropriate  
16 security solutions.”<sup>6</sup> She added that “[o]rganizations that collect, use, store, and share sensitive  
17 personal data must accept responsibility for protecting the information and ensuring that it is not  
18 compromised . . . .”<sup>7</sup>

19           67.     Most of the reported data breaches are a result of lax security and the failure to  
20 create or enforce appropriate security policies, rules, and procedures . . . Appropriate information  
21 security controls, including encryption, must be implemented and enforced in a rigorous and  
22 disciplined manner so that a *data breach never occurs*.<sup>8</sup>

23           68.     Here, Defendant knew of the importance of safeguarding PHI/PII and financial  
24 information and of the foreseeable consequences that would occur if Representative Plaintiff’s and  
25 Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information was stolen, including the significant costs that

26 <sup>4</sup> *Report to Congressional Requesters*, GAO, at 29 (June 2007), available at:  
<http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf> (last accessed December 8, 2022).

27 <sup>5</sup> Lucy L. Thompson, “Despite the Alarming Trends, Data Breaches Are Preventable,” in  
DATA BREACH AND ENCRYPTION HANDBOOK (Lucy Thompson, ed., 2012)

28 <sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 17.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 28.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

1 would be placed on Representative Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of a breach of this  
2 magnitude. Defendant had the resources to deploy robust cybersecurity protocols. It knew, or  
3 should have known, that the development and use of such protocols were necessary to fulfill its  
4 statutory and common law duties to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. Defendant's  
5 failure to do so is, therefore, intentional, willful, reckless, and/or grossly negligent.

6 69. Defendant disregarded the rights of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by,  
7 *inter alia*, (i) intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take adequate and  
8 reasonable measures to ensure that its network servers were protected against unauthorized  
9 intrusions; (ii) failing to disclose that it did not have adequately robust security protocols and  
10 training practices in place to adequately safeguard Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members'  
11 PHI/PII and/or financial information; (iii) failing to take standard and reasonably available steps  
12 to prevent the Data Breach; (iv) concealing the existence and extent of the Data Breach for an  
13 unreasonable duration of time; and (v) failing to provide Representative Plaintiff and Class  
14 Members prompt and accurate notice of the Data Breach.

15  
16  
17  
18 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
19 **Negligence**  
20 **(On behalf of the Nationwide Class)**

21 70. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause  
22 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

23 71. At all times herein relevant, Defendant owed Representative Plaintiff and Class  
24 Members a duty of care, *inter alia*, to act with reasonable care to secure and safeguard their PHI/PII  
25 and financial information and to use commercially reasonable methods to do so. Defendant took  
26 on this obligation upon accepting and storing the PHI/PII and financial information of  
27 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members in its computer systems and on its networks.

28 72. Among these duties, Defendant was expected:



- 1 a. to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding,  
2 deleting and protecting the PHI/PII and financial information in its  
3 possession;
- 4 b. to protect Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and  
5 financial information using reasonable and adequate security procedures  
6 and systems that were/are compliant with industry-standard practices;
- 7 c. to implement processes to quickly detect the Data Breach and to timely act  
8 on warnings about data breaches; and
- 9 d. to promptly notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members of any data  
10 breach, security incident, or intrusion that affected or may have affected  
11 their PHI/PII and financial information.

12 73. Defendant knew that the PHI/PII and financial information was private and  
13 confidential and should be protected as private and confidential. Therefore, Defendant owed a duty  
14 of care not to subject Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to an unreasonable risk of harm  
15 because they were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices.

16 74. Defendant knew, or should have known, of the risks inherent in collecting and  
17 storing PHI/PII and financial information, the vulnerabilities of its data security systems, and the  
18 importance of adequate security. Defendant knew about numerous, well-publicized data breaches.

19 75. Defendant knew, or should have known, that its data systems and networks did not  
20 adequately safeguard Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial  
21 information.

22 76. Only Defendant was in the position to ensure that its systems and protocols were  
23 sufficient to protect the PHI/PII and financial information that Representative Plaintiff and Class  
24 Members had entrusted to it.

25 77. Defendant breached its duties to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members by  
26 failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to  
27 safeguard the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

28 78. Because Defendant knew that a breach of its systems could damage millions of  
individuals, including Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant had a duty to  
adequately protect those data systems and the PHI/PII and financial information contained  
thereon.

1           79. Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ willingness to entrust Defendant  
2 with their PHI/PII and financial information was predicated on the understanding that Defendant  
3 would take adequate security precautions. Moreover, only Defendant had the ability to protect its  
4 systems and the PHI/PII and financial information they stored on them from attack. Thus,  
5 Defendant had a special relationship with Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

6           80. Defendant also had independent duties under state and federal laws that required it  
7 to reasonably safeguard Representative Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial  
8 information and promptly notify them about the Data Breach. These “independent duties” are  
9 untethered to any contract between Defendant and Representative Plaintiff and/or the remaining  
10 Class Members.

11           81. Defendant breached its general duty of care to Representative Plaintiff and Class  
12 Members in, but not necessarily limited to, the following ways:

- 13           a. by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and  
14 data security practices to safeguard the PHI/PII and financial information  
15 of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- 16           b. by failing to timely and accurately disclose that Representative Plaintiff’s  
17 and Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial information had been  
18 improperly acquired or accessed;
- 19           c. by failing to adequately protect and safeguard the PHI/PII and financial  
20 information by knowingly disregarding standard information security  
21 principles, despite obvious risks, and by allowing unmonitored and  
22 unrestricted access to unsecured PHI/PII and financial information;
- 23           d. by failing to provide adequate supervision and oversight of the PHI/PII and  
24 financial information with which they were and are entrusted, in spite of the  
25 known risk and foreseeable likelihood of breach and misuse, which  
26 permitted an unknown third-party to gather PHI/PII and financial  
27 information of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, misuse the  
28 PHI/PII, and intentionally disclose it to others without consent.
- e. by failing to adequately train its employees to not store PHI/PII and  
financial information longer than absolutely necessary;
- f. by failing to consistently enforce security policies aimed at protecting  
Representative Plaintiff’s and the Class Members’ PHI/PII and financial  
information;
- g. by failing to implement processes to quickly detect data breaches, security  
incidents, or intrusions; and

1 h. by failing to encrypt Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII  
2 and financial information and monitor user behavior and activity in order to  
3 identify possible threats.

4 82. Defendant's willful failure to abide by these duties was wrongful, reckless, and  
5 grossly negligent in light of the foreseeable risks and known threats.

6 83. As a proximate and foreseeable result of Defendant's grossly negligent conduct,  
7 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered damages and are at imminent risk of  
8 additional harms and damages (as alleged above).

9 84. The law further imposes an affirmative duty on Defendant to timely disclose the  
10 unauthorized access and theft of the PHI/PII and financial information to Representative Plaintiff  
11 and Class Members so that they could and/or still can take appropriate measures to mitigate  
12 damages, protect against adverse consequences and thwart future misuse of their PHI/PII and  
13 financial information.

14 85. Defendant breached its duty to notify Representative Plaintiff and Class Members  
15 of the unauthorized access by waiting months after learning of the Data Breach to notify  
16 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members and then by failing and continuing to fail to provide  
17 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members sufficient information regarding the breach. To date,  
18 Defendant has not provided sufficient information to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members  
19 regarding the extent of the unauthorized access and continues to breach its disclosure obligations  
20 to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

21 86. Further, through its failure to provide timely and clear notification of the Data  
22 Breach to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant prevented Representative  
23 Plaintiff and Class Members from taking meaningful, proactive steps to secure their PHI/PII and  
24 financial information.

25 87. There is a close causal connection between Defendant's failure to implement  
26 security measures to protect the PHI/PII and financial information of Representative Plaintiff and  
27 Class Members and the harm suffered, or risk of imminent harm suffered by Representative  
28 Plaintiff and Class Members. Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial

1 information was accessed as the proximate result of Defendant’s failure to exercise reasonable  
2 care in safeguarding such PHI/PII and financial information by adopting, implementing, and  
3 maintaining appropriate security measures.

4 88. Defendant’s wrongful actions, inactions, and omissions constituted (and continues  
5 to constitute) common law negligence.

6 89. The damages Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered (as alleged  
7 above) and will suffer were and are the direct and proximate result of Defendant’s grossly  
8 negligent conduct.

9 90. Additionally, 15 U.S.C. §45 (FTC Act, Section 5) prohibits “unfair . . . practices in  
10 or affecting commerce,” including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or  
11 practice by businesses, such as Defendant, of failing to use reasonable measures to protect PHI/PII  
12 and financial information. The FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the  
13 basis of Defendant’s duty in this regard.

14 91. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §45 by failing to use reasonable measures to protect  
15 PHI/PII and financial information and not complying with applicable industry standards, as  
16 described in detail herein. Defendant’s conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and  
17 amount of PHI/PII and financial information it obtained and stored and the foreseeable  
18 consequences of the immense damages that would result to Representative Plaintiff and Class  
19 Members.

20 92. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant’s negligence and negligence *per se*,  
21 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not  
22 limited to: (i) actual identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity of how their PHI/PII and financial  
23 information is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PHI/PII and financial  
24 information; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery  
25 from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PHI/PII and financial information;  
26 (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity addressing  
27 and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including but  
28 not limited to, efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from

1 | embarrassment and identity theft; (vi) the continued risk to their PHI/PII and financial  
2 | information, which may remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized  
3 | disclosures so long as Defendant fail to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect  
4 | Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and financial information in its continued  
5 | possession; (vii) and future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to  
6 | prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PHI/PII and financial information  
7 | compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Representative  
8 | Plaintiff and Class Members.

9 |         93. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*,  
10 | Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms  
11 | of injury and/or harm, including, but not limited to, anxiety, emotional distress, loss of privacy,  
12 | and other economic and non-economic losses.

13 |         94. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and  
14 | negligence *per se*, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer the  
15 | continued risks of exposure of their PHI/PII and financial information, which remain in  
16 | Defendant's possession and are subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant  
17 | fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PHI/PII and financial  
18 | information in its continued possession.

19 |  
20 |  
21 |                   **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
22 |                   **Breach of Implied Contract**  
23 |                   **(On behalf of the Nationwide Class)**

24 |         95. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause  
25 | of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

26 |         96. Through its course of conduct, Defendant, Representative Plaintiff, and Class  
27 | Members entered into implied contracts for Defendant to implement data security adequate to  
28 | safeguard and protect the privacy of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII and  
financial information.

1           97. Defendant required Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to provide and  
2 entrust their PHI/PII and financial information, including full names, addresses, dates of birth,  
3 gender, health insurance information, and medical information, including diagnosis/treatment  
4 information, lab results, and medication, and Social Security numbers.

5           98. Defendant solicited and invited Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to  
6 provide their PHI/PII and financial information as part of Defendant's regular business practices.  
7 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members accepted Defendant's offers and provided their  
8 PHI/PII and financial information to Defendant.

9           99. As a condition of receiving services from Defendant, Representative Plaintiff and  
10 Class Members provided and entrusted their PHI/PII and financial information to Defendant. In  
11 so doing, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members entered into implied contracts with  
12 Defendant by which Defendant agreed to safeguard and protect such non-public information, to  
13 keep such information secure and confidential, and to timely and accurately notify Representative  
14 Plaintiff and Class Members if their data had been breached and compromised or stolen.

15           100. A meeting of the minds occurred when Representative Plaintiff and Class Members  
16 agreed to, and did, provide their PHI/PII and financial information to Defendant, in exchange for,  
17 amongst other things, the protection of their PHI/PII and financial information.

18           101. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members fully performed their obligations under  
19 the implied contracts with Defendant.

20           102. Defendant breached the implied contracts it made with Representative Plaintiff and  
21 Class Members by failing to safeguard and protect their PHI/PII and financial information and by  
22 failing to provide timely and accurate notice to them that their PHI/PII and financial information  
23 was compromised as a result of the Data Breach.

24           103. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach of implied  
25 contract, Representative Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered (and will continue to suffer)  
26 (a) ongoing, imminent, and impending threat of identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting  
27 in monetary loss and economic harm; (b) actual identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting  
28 in monetary loss and economic harm; (c) loss of the confidentiality of the stolen confidential data;

1 (d) the illegal sale of the compromised data on the dark web; (e) lost work time; and (f) other  
2 economic and non-economic harm.

3  
4 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
5 **Unjust Enrichment**  
6 **(On behalf of the Nationwide Class)**

7 104. Each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated in this cause  
8 of action with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

9 105. By its wrongful acts and omissions described herein, Defendant has obtained a  
10 benefit by unduly taking advantage of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members.

11 106. Defendant, prior to and at the time Representative Plaintiff and Class Members  
12 entrusted their PHI/PII and financial information to Defendant for the purpose of purchasing  
13 products/services from Defendant, caused Representative Plaintiff and Class Members to  
14 reasonably believe that Defendant would keep such PHI/PII and financial information secure.

15 107. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that reasonable consumers would  
16 have wanted their PHI/PII and financial information kept secure and would not have contracted  
17 with Defendant, directly or indirectly, had they known that Defendant's information systems were  
18 sub-standard for that purpose.

19 108. Defendant was also aware that, if the substandard condition of and vulnerabilities  
20 in its information systems were disclosed, it would negatively affect Representative Plaintiff's and  
21 Class Members' decisions to seek services therefrom.

22 109. Defendant failed to disclose facts pertaining to its substandard information systems,  
23 defects, and vulnerabilities therein before Representative Plaintiff and Class Members made their  
24 decisions to make purchases, engage in commerce therewith, and seek services or information.  
25 Instead, Defendant suppressed and concealed such information. By concealing and suppressing  
26 that information, Defendant denied Representative Plaintiff and Class Members the ability to make  
27 a rational and informed purchasing decision and took undue advantage of Representative Plaintiff  
28 and Class Members.

1 110. Defendant was unjustly enriched at the expense of Representative Plaintiff and  
2 Class Members. Defendant received profits, benefits, and compensation, in part, at the expense of  
3 Representative Plaintiff and Class Members. By contrast, Representative Plaintiff and Class  
4 Members did not receive the benefit of their bargain because they paid for products/services that did  
5 not satisfy the purposes for which they bought/sought them.

6 111. Since Defendant's profits, benefits, and other compensation were obtained by  
7 improper means, Defendant is not legally or equitably entitled to retain any of the benefits,  
8 compensation, or profits it realized from these transactions.

9 112. Representative Plaintiff and Class Members seek an Order of this Court requiring  
10 Defendant to refund, disgorge, and pay as restitution any profits, benefits, and other compensation  
11 obtained by Defendant from its wrongful conduct and/or the establishment of a constructive  
12 trust from which Representative Plaintiff and Class Members may seek restitution.

### 13 RELIEF SOUGHT

14 **WHEREFORE**, Representative Plaintiff, individually and on behalf and each member of  
15 the proposed class, respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiff  
16 Class(es) and for the following specific relief against Defendant as follows:

17 1. That the Court declare, adjudge, and decree that this action is a proper class action  
18 and certify each of the proposed classes and/or any other appropriate subclasses **under N.R.C.P.**  
19 **Rule 23 (b)(1), (b)(2), and/or (b)(3)**, including appointment of Representative Plaintiff's counsel  
20 as Class Counsel;

21 2. For an award of damages, including actual, nominal, and consequential damages,  
22 as allowed by law in an amount to be determined;

23 3. For equitable relief enjoining Defendant from engaging in the wrongful conduct  
24 complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of Representative Plaintiff's and  
25 Class Members' PHI/PII, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete, and accurate disclosures  
26 to Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;

27  
28



1           4.       For injunctive relief requested by Representative Plaintiff, including but not limited  
2 to, injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to protect the interests of Representative  
3 Plaintiff and Class Members, including but not limited to an Order:

- 4                   a.       prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the wrongful and unlawful acts  
5 described herein;
- 6                   b.       requiring Defendant to protect, including through encryption, all data  
7 collected through the course of business in accordance with all applicable  
8 regulations, industry standards, and federal, state, or local laws;
- 9                   c.       requiring Defendant to delete and purge the PHI/PII of Representative  
10 Plaintiff and Class Members unless Defendant can provide to the Court  
11 reasonable justification for the retention and use of such information when  
12 weighed against the privacy interests of Representative Plaintiff and Class  
13 Members;
- 14                   d.       requiring Defendant to implement and maintain a comprehensive  
15 Information Security Program designed to protect the confidentiality and  
16 integrity of Representative Plaintiff's and Class Members' PHI/PII ;
- 17                   e.       requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors and  
18 internal personnel to run automated security monitoring, simulated attacks,  
19 penetration tests, and audits on Defendant's systems on a periodic basis;
- 20                   f.       prohibiting Defendant from maintaining Representative Plaintiff's and  
21 Class Members' PHI/PII on a cloud-based database;
- 22                   g.       requiring Defendant to segment data by creating firewalls and access  
23 controls so that, if one area of Defendant's network is compromised,  
24 hackers cannot gain access to other portions of Defendant's systems;
- 25                   h.       requiring Defendant to conduct regular database scanning and securing  
26 checks;
- 27                   i.       requiring Defendant to establish an information security training program  
28 that includes at least annual information security training for all employees,  
with additional training to be provided as appropriate based upon the  
employees' respective responsibilities with handling PHI/PII , as well as  
protecting the PHI/PII of Representative Plaintiff and Class Members;
- j.       requiring Defendant to implement a system of tests to assess its respective  
employees' knowledge of the education programs discussed in the  
preceding subparagraphs, as well as randomly and periodically testing  
employees' compliance with Defendant's policies, programs, and systems  
for protecting personal identifying information;
- k.       requiring Defendant to implement, maintain, review, and revise as  
necessary a threat management program to appropriately monitor  
Defendant's networks for internal and external threats, and assess whether  
monitoring tools are properly configured, tested, and updated;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1. requiring Defendant to meaningfully educate all Class Members about the threats that they face as a result of the loss of their confidential personal identifying information to third parties, as well as the steps affected individuals must take to protect themselves.

5. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded, at the prevailing legal rate;

6. For an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as allowed by law;

7. For all other Orders, findings, and determinations identified and sought in this

Complaint.

**JURY DEMAND**

Representative Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Plaintiff Class and/or Subclass(es), hereby demand a trial by jury for all issues triable by jury.

Dated: December 8, 2022

**COLE & VAN NOTE**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Matthew L. Sharp, Esq., Esq.  
Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff  
and the Plaintiff Class(es)